## Towards a Financial Statement Based Approach to Modeling Systemic Risk in Insurance and Banking

Garud Iyengar, Yu Luo, Shiva Rajgopal<sup>1</sup>, Venkat Venkatasubramanian, and Zhizun Zhang

## Comments welcome July 27, 2017

#### **Suggested citation**

Iyengar, Garud and Luo, Yu and Rajgopal, Shivaram and Venkatasubramanian, Venkat and Zhang, Zhizun, Towards a Financial Statement Based Approach to Modeling Systemic Risk in Insurance and Banking (July 25, 2017). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3008946

## **ABSTRACT:**

One of the important limitations of the SRISK measure of systemic risk, proposed by Brownlees and Engle [1] and Acharya, Engle and Richardson [2], is its reliance on stock market data without much validation against the institutions' fundamentals based on its financial statements. We propose a financial statement based approach to estimating the vulnerability of an institution to a systemic event (labeled CRISK). We illustrate our approach for three business models: a life insurer (Prudential), a property and casualty insurer (Chubb) and an investment bank (J.P. Morgan Chase). We also validate CRISK using AIG's capital shortfall during the 2008 financial crisis. Our approach reveals that SRISK is likely to (i) overstate (misstate) capital requirements for life insurers (P&C insurers); and (ii) to overstate capital requirements for banks heavily reliant on FDIC insured deposits. We recommend using the market based SRISK measure as a first cut filter to identify systemically important institutions. The analyst can refine the list and validate the expected capital shortfall number using CRISK or a detailed financial statement analysis of the kind we advocate in this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Authors are listed alphabetically. Iyengar is the Department Chair of the Industrial Engineering and Operations Research at Columbia University. Rajgopal is the Kester and Byrnes Professor of Accounting and Auditing at Columbia Business School. Venkatsubramanian is Samuel Ruben-Peter G. Viele Professor of Engineering at Columbia University. Luo is a postdoctoral researcher and Zhang is a doctoral student in Engineering at Columbia University. We thank (i) Alakar Srinivasan and Shenglin Wu for excellent research assistance; (ii) our respective schools as well as the Center for the Management of Systemic Risk (CMSR) for financial support; and (iii) insurance professionals at Prudential Insurance for their technical assistance with the project. We also acknowledge helpful comments from Urooj Khan and workshop participants at the 2016 Columbia Workshop on Systemic Risk in Insurance,.

#### 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we provide a critique of SRISK, proposed by Brownlees and Engle [1] and Acharya et al. [2], as a measure of systemic risk that a financial institution imposes on markets. Second, to address some of the shortcomings of SRISK, we propose an alternative measure of a financial institution's vulnerability at the time of a crisis, based on its audited financial statements.

Brownlees and Engle [1] define SRISK as "an estimate of the amount of capital that a financial institution would need to raise in order to function normally if we have another financial crisis." SRISK attempts to measure the "expected capital shortfall" of an institution during a financial crisis. Such a shortfall is computed as the "projected market capitalization" if equity markets declined by 40% based on historical stock market correlations (i.e., equity beta) minus the "prudent market capitalization" of greater than or equal to 8% of total assets. The NYU V-Lab computes SRISK on a real-time basis from stock prices of various financial institutions and makes them publicly available at https://ylab.stern.nyu.edu/en/welcome/risk/.

SRISK has gained wide prominence as an important measure of systemic risk. Recently, MetLife filed a lawsuit contesting the Federal Reserve Bank's decision to designate it as a SIFI (systemically important financial institution) under the Dodd-Frank Act. Professor Engle, along with other professors, wrote an *amicus curiae* brief in the lawsuit, which reaffirms the Fed's assessment of MetLife as systemically risky. That assessment relies on the SRISK measure as well as on other qualitative analyses.

We argue that SRISK, as a measure of systemic risk, suffers from several shortcomings. First, capital shortfalls computed under SRISK represent a black box. Hence, it is hard for the analyst to know whether or not SRISK incorporates the fundamental attributes of a business. As we

demonstrate later, the financial vulnerability to a 40% decline in the broad market index is radically different for a life insurer relative to that of a commercial bank or an investment bank. Second, volatilities in the stock prices of the bank and in the market index, embedded in the SRISK measure, yield highly variable estimates of capital shortfalls and are hence of limited value to policy makers. Third, SRISK assumes that the underlying business is mark-to-market daily and hence works best for models where liabilities are instantly callable (e.g., investment banks). As such, SRISK does not work well for insurance companies and commercial banks that are funded by relatively illiquid sources such as future policy obligations or FDIC insured deposits. Fourth, SRISK assumes that the key systemic event is a large decline in the stock market index for all business models of financial institutions although for a life insurer, a pandemic is more likely the key systemic event. Fifth, SRISK assumes that prudent capital is 8% of the firm's assets without considering the riskiness of these assets, as in risk weighted assets (RWA) for banks or risk based capital (RBC) for insurers. This limitation results in misstated amounts of prudent capital that need to set aside. Finally, SRISK does not adequately capture the intuition that systemic risk ought to involve (i) a forced unwinding of transactions big enough to materially impact the underlying market in that financial instrument; and (ii) the contagion effect that such unwinding can cause.

To overcome the "black box" nature of SRISK, we advocate a financial statement approach to estimate systemic risk. We draw from data in firms' financial statements and modify SRISK, labeled CRISK, to accommodate variations in the three business models of financial institutions (a life insurer, Prudential Insurance, a property and casualty (P&C) insurer, Chubb, and a commercial bank combined with an investment bank, J.P Morgan). Our method involves two broad steps: (i) during the crisis; and (ii) post crisis. During the crisis, we begin by reviewing whether each liability (on or off-balance sheet) will be callable when a potential systemic event

happens (say a 40% decline in the stock market). To settle callable liabilities, we consider the existence of earmarked assets (e.g., separate account assets for a life insurer). If an earmarked asset does not exist, we assume the higher quality assets will be sold first. If these assets are not cash, we assume that the assets will be sold at a discount that is appropriate for sales of the relevant securities during such a crisis. As an aside, the expected sale of specific baskets of securities will also provide an indication of whether the sale is big enough to seize up the market in that security. Finally, we charge these "discounts" or losses from sales of securities against the firm's book value of equity. We assume that goodwill and several other intangible assets such as value of business acquired (VOBA) or deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) for an insurer would be worthless should a systemic event occur.

After the crisis, the assets left on the balance sheet will, by definition, represent less-liquid or even lower quality claims. We compute 8% of the left-over assets (based on Basel standards) and designate that number as the institution's loss absorption capacity. Finally, we validate the loss absorption capacity of the institution. That is, we apply "haircuts" to the left-over assets based on their credit ratings to approximate loss/default rates in the post-crisis scenario after the storm has passed. The excess, if any, of these haircuts over the institution's loss absorption capacity (based on the 8% Basel standards) represents the financial statement based measure of SRISK (labeled here as CRISK). For completeness, we also consider both available book equity as per GAAP and equity under statutory capital guidelines applicable to that institution. This comparison should predict whether the institution will need to raise new capital, should a systemic event occur.

SRISK and CRISK for the three businesses we considered are as follows: (i) Prudential, SRISK of \$47.5 billion and CRISK of \$11.0 billion; (ii) Chubb, SRISK not reported and CRISK indicating surplus capital of 5.43 billion and (iii) J.P. Morgan Chase, SRISK is \$81.5 billion and CRISK is

\$43.9 billion. What might explain these differences? It is worth noting that CRISK writes off all intangible assets when a crisis occurs. Hence, CRISK is conservative. Despite such generous impairment related assumptions, SRISK overstates the capital shortfall needed for life insurance companies relative to CRISK. This is because a large chunk of the life insurer's liabilities is usually (i) separate account liabilities, for which there are usually earmarked offsetting separate account assets; (ii) policyholder benefits, where the risk of market underperformance is mostly passed on to the policyholders; and (iii) future policy benefits which represents reserves set aside to pay future policyholder claims. Eliminating just the separate accounts line from the assets and liabilities line substantially reduces SRISK for Prudential.

Second, SRISK misreports capital shortfalls required for P&C insurers. This is because P&C companies only book their unpaid losses on the policy after the catastrophe has occurred. These losses could potentially exceed the actuarial estimates of losses reserved for in the books. Moreover, the unpaid loss reserves, which are deliberately set aside to settle claims from floods or losses, are erroneously considered by SRISK as obligations against which capital needs to be provided. More important, correlated floods or hurricanes, which would represent real systemic risk for P&C firms, are unlikely to coincide with SRISK's operationalization of systemic risk — a 40% decline in the market index.

Third, SRISK overstates capital shortfalls for banks that rely heavily on FDIC insured deposits. Such deposits usually do not get called in a crisis although SRISK assumes these deposits are as callable as say overnight deposits held by institutions. J.P. Morgan relies heavily on FDIC insured deposits. Moreover, financial statements yield other data on sources of systemic risk that may not be otherwise obvious. For instance, J.P. Morgan Chase's forced of \$340 billion of bank deposits at short notice, should a systemic event occur, might be a cause for concern. Finally, we attempt to validate the computation of CRISK by examining how the measure fared for AIG in 2007, during the four quarters of 2008 and in the present day, for year ended 2015. CRISK changes from \$144 billion shortfall in 2007 to \$42.5 billion surplus in 2015. The point when CRISK shortfall drops significantly coincides with the government bailout of AIG in September (2008 Quarter 3). These trends give us some assurance that CRISK is a plausible measure of capital shortfalls should a systemic event such as a 40% decline in the overall stock market occur.

In sum, the ease of computation and almost real-time measurement of an institution's SRISK from stock market based data from the NYU database is attractive. Computing CRISK from financial statements, on the other hand, is difficult, time-consuming, mired in detailed assumptions and requires extensive knowledge of accounting conventions. However, financial statements can help the analyst (i) incorporate important first-order attributes of the industries' business model or of the institution that a broad-brush market based measure will almost necessarily miss; and (ii) explicitly articulate the underlying assumptions implicit in the SRISK computation (e.g., what haircut should we assume on the institution's capital requirement. Perhaps, a compromise strategy might involve using market data based SRISK to generate a short list of potential SIFIs that can be calibrated with detailed financial statement analysis of the kind we advocate.

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 explains market data based SRISK, its limitations and our financial statement based modified approach. Sections 3-6 discuss the derivation of financial statement based CRISK for Prudential Insurance, Chubb, J.P. Morgan, and AIG. Section 7 summarizes and concludes.

#### 2. Market based SRISK and a modified financial statement based approach

#### 2.1 What is SRISK?

Brownlees and Engle [1] define SRISK as the expected capital shortfall (CS) of a firm in the event of a market decline. The systemic event is modeled as an event when the arithmetic market return ( $R_m$ ) is below a threshold *C* over horizon *h* as shown in equation (1):

$$SRISK_{it} = E_t(CS_{it+h}|R_{mt+1:t+h} < C),$$
 1

Assuming that the institution's debts cannot be renegotiated, the institution's capital shortfall (CS) can be written as:

$$CS_{it} = kA_{it} - W_{it} = k(D_{it} + W_{it}) - W_{it}, \qquad 2$$

where  $W_{it}$  is the market value of equity and  $D_{it}$  is the book value of debt,  $A_{it}$  is value of "quasi assets" (equivalent to sum of  $W_{it}$  and  $D_{it}$ ) and k is prudent capital fraction, usually set to 8%. An institution's prudent capital level is calculated as 8% of the sum of the book value of on-balance sheet debt and the reduced market capital of equity after the systemic event. SRISK is basically the capital shortfall measured as the difference between the prudent capital level relative to the left-over market capital after the systemic event. The maximum of SRISK and zero is assumed to be the capital injection needed by the government to help the firm. Aggregate SRISK for all financial institutions is the summation of SRISK for each institution.

A few features of the SRISK measure are worth highlighting. First, SRISK is based on Merton type default risk models that is the core feature of several credit risk models. Second, SRISK merges a firm's balance sheet information (book value of on-balance sheet debt, as stated) and market value of equity capital to estimate the conditional shortfall in capital after a systemic event. Although one can compute capital shortfalls based on accounting values alone (as we do in our CRISK measure), SRISK's creators argue that the stock market is forward looking and hence SRISK is a conceptually superior measure. Of course, reliance on stock market measures makes SRISK excessively volatile and renders it a black box in terms of its relation to fundamentals of the firm, as explained later.

Third, SRISK does not explicitly employ off-balance sheet information and on top of that might not capture the correct on-balance sheet asset and liability structure of a firm. The value of k, the prudent capital requirement, is based on the capital ratio maintained by large financial institutions and is taken to be 8%, although the correct value of k to be used is currently under debate. The value of the *C* parameter should reflect extreme events (in practice set to 40% decline in the stock market) and the horizon 'h' ought to sufficiently long. If the horizon were short and the threshold were small, SRISK would identify the current capital shortfall rather than the shortfall around the stressed systemic event.

#### **2.2 Limitations of SRISK**

We identify several limitations of the SRISK measure as follows:

#### 2.2.1 SRISK is volatile

SRISK relies solely on daily or weekly equity market prices of financial institutions to compute expected capital shortfalls at these institutions. If stocks markets are volatile for whatever reason, SRISK may not reflect economic capital of the institution. Figure 1 reproduced below shows how J.P. Morgan's SRISK has fluctuated dramatically between \$37 billion and \$90 billion in the six months ending March 3, 2017. These deviations are driven by volatility in stock markets and are unlikely to reflect shortfalls in J.P. Morgan's economic capital.



Figure 1. J.P. Morgan's SRISK September 3, 2016 - March 3, 2017.

#### 2.2.2 SRISK is a black box

One cannot tie changes in SRISK based on stock market fluctuations to changes in the firms' fundamentals. SRISK is somewhat silent about the source of exposure faced by heterogeneous business models underlying several institutions such as commercial banks, investment banks, life insurers, and P&C insurers. To cite one example, SRISK lumps liabilities of these business models together without recognizing nuances associated with liabilities on each of these institutions' balance sheets. For instance, if a bank's liability is due in the next six months, the institution is more likely to face a short run capital shortfall as compared to a liability (say a deposit) that is due in 10 years and is potentially backed by the FDIC in the event of a bank's default. Or, for a life insurer, the profit margins on selling life insurance policies are embedded in an account called "future policy benefits" that appears on the liabilities side of the insurer's balance sheet. These margins simply represent unearned profit that would be recognized as earned profits over the life of the policy. Although they appear on the liabilities side of the insurer's balance sheet, unearned profits do not strictly represent outside obligations that will come due when a systemic event occurs. As discussed in section 3, we address this limitation of SRISK by relying on fundamental

information in the firm's balance sheet and the income statement to assess the potential vulnerability of a financial institution to a systemic event.<sup>2</sup>

## 2.2.3 SRISK assumes liquidation and works best for "callable" businesses

By implicitly marking the firm's balance sheet to market, SRISK assumes a liquidation event and is hence predicated on the idea that changes in the stock market capitalization of an institution equal the long term expected capital shortfall of that institution. This assumption is questionable for several reasons. First, a typical bank's liabilities are not repriced due to changes in market prices (e.g., long term deposits) but the banks' assets are typically mark-to-market. Retail deposits usually do not experience runs on account of FDIC guarantees. Even on the assets side, loans are not marked to market partly because they are not due in the short-run. In the event of a crisis, the loans usually do not get sold. Instead, the FDIC gives banks time to work through a credit crisis.

Second, an insurers' liabilities, as in the case of separate accounts for life insurers, change with market movements and can be offset one for one with separate accounts on the assets side of the balance sheet. Moreover, a life insurer's liabilities represent conservative estimates of long dated future benefits on these policies that contain margins. These margins are not typically withdrawable in the near term. Policy holders, for both life insurers and P&C insurers, are unlikely or contractually unable to file claims if the broad market index were to decline by 40%.

SRISK is perhaps best suited to evaluate the financial vulnerability of a pure investment bank because most of the investment bank's balance sheet is mark-to-market on a daily basis. The embedded horizon in the assets and liabilities of an investment bank is usually small (a matter of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Brownlees and Engle [1] attempt to use SRISK to explain the Bloomberg Loan Crisis dataset, which details firms that received Federal Reserve capital injections during the 2008-9 financial crisis. A regression model containing basic industry variables explains 18.2% of the variation in this dataset. However, adding SRISK increases the adjusted r-squared slightly to 21.5%. Acharya, Engle, and Pierret [6] show that SRISK produces rankings of capital shortfalls similar to those generated by U.S. stress tests. Our analysis is more focused on the absolute number of the capital shortfall than relative cross-sectional ranks of such shortfall. Arguably, the size of the financial institution itself is the best cross-sectional predictor of capital shortfalls.

days sometimes). Moreover, an investment bank's balance sheet can be labeled as "callable" or potentially the most susceptible to a bank run where liabilities come due quickly and assets have to be liquidated to pay off these liabilities. Hence, the investment bank's equity capital is potentially well approximated by the market capitalization of the firm's equity, as reflected in SRISK.

#### 2.2.4 SRISK assumes that the stock market decline is the key systemic event

SRISK assumes that the key systemic event to consider is a 40% or a similarly large decline in the broad market index. Even a broad market decline need not be a systemic event for banks. For instance, the stock market declined significantly during the 2000 crash in technology stocks. However, that market decline did not create a systemic event for banks. Systemic events are likely to differ for individual business models. For a life insurer, a more relevant systemic event might be a pandemic which causes several thousand of its policy holders to die at once. In this scenario, the life insurer would be obligated to pay out claims to those policy holders at the same time. For a P&C company, such a systemic event might be a devastating hurricane that may or may not coincide with a 40% decline in the broad market index.

## 2.2.5 Market value of equity is not loss absorption capacity

We define loss absorption capacity as assets that are held above and beyond that needed to pay off third party liabilities such as depositors, policyholders, and the tax authorities. Ideally, we would like to measure the loss absorption capacity of the institution when a systemic event occurs (say a 40% decline in the broad stock market index, as assumed by Brownlees and Engle [1]). SRISK assumes that such loss absorption capacity is captured by the market value of a firm's equity. It is not obvious that an institution can use the market value of its equity to pay off its obligations.

#### 2.2.6 SRISK ignores statutory capital requirements

In general, we can think of three different constructs of equity capital: (i) regulatory capital such as RBC in insurance or RWA in banking; (ii) GAAP based capital; and (iii) economic capital. Each of these three measures can differ for the same financial institution. The capital metric used for banks is based on the Basel minimum capital requirements and the accounting basis of measuring capital is, of course, usually based on GAAP. In contrast, for insurance companies, the capital metric is RBC and the accounting based measure of capital is based on statutory accounting principles (SAP), which tends to be more conservative than GAAP accounting. SAP is set by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC). SAP, unlike GAAP, reflects the insurance company in a quasi-state of liquidation rather than as an ongoing business. The primary goal of SAP is to enhance solvency. SAP computes policyholder surplus, defined as assets minus liabilities and serves as the insurer's capital cushion against catastrophic losses.

SRISK is based on GAAP based equity capital regardless of the nature of the institution involved. Prudent capital, under SRISK, is defined as 8% of quasi-assets of the financial institution, where quasi assets are measured as the sum of the book value of debt and the market value of equity. However, as mentioned, this measure of quasi assets ignores the notion of RWA in the banking context or RBC for insurance firms. There can be large differences between 8% of quasi assets under SRISK and RWA or RBC for an institution. In most cases, the prudent capital to be held, under the quasi assets calculation, would exceed prudent capital defined as RWA or RBC. For instance, under the quasi assets concept, a financial institution that holds a substantial portion of its assets in U.S. treasuries would be treated no differently from an institution that holds risky loans. However, under the RWA calculation, the weight attached to U.S. treasuries would be zero. Our procedure, explained in the next section, relies in spirit on a similar risk weighted calculation

in that we assume highly liquid assets (usually with a risk weight of zero) are liquidated first to settle callable liabilities and hence leave the balance sheet after the crisis.

#### 2.2.7 SRISK does not adequately capture the systemic aspect of systemic risk

Systemic risk, to us, involves both (i) vulnerability of a firm in a crisis; and (ii) the impact of that vulnerability to the financial system as a whole. That is, systemic risk involves: (i) a forced unwinding of transactions big enough to materially impact the underlying market in that financial instrument; and (ii) the contagion effect that such unwinding can cause. One can potentially think of distressed firms that would not cause significant contagion or damage to the financial system. One could argue that for SRISK to capture systemic risk, all financial institutions have to sell securities in the same or similar asset classes to raise capital at the same time. If everyone is trading the same or similar asset class, and the firm is forced to trade, such trades could cause a systemic event or market failure.

To illustrate, if an institution designated as systemically large were forced to liquidate a hundred billion dollars of equities, over a three-month period, one could plausibly argue that such an unwind would simply register a blip in the equity market. On the other hand, if the institution were forced to unwind a hundred billion dollars of single B rated bonds in three months, such an unwind might cause systemic worries. That transaction could potentially change the fundamental availability and pricing of credit for single B bonds. SRISK is better at measuring the risk that a particular institution will fail. However, that does not necessarily imply that the failure will take every other institution down. Nor, is SRISK nuanced enough to isolate a market seizure in a particular set of securities.

#### 2.3 Alternative to SRISK

12

The shortcomings of SRISK, discussed in section 2, inevitably raise at least two follow up questions: (i) what should an ideal measure of systemic risk capture? (ii) what, if anything, is a plausible alternative to SRISK? We address these questions next.

## **2.3.1 Computing CRISK**

We believe that an ideal measure of systemic risk ought to capture three characteristics: (i) callable liabilities (and "callable" assets); (ii) financial vulnerability in the event of a crisis; and (iii) inter connectedness to the market. We propose a financial statement based measure labeled CRISK to remedy at least the first two of these three shortcomings of SRISK. As recognized in the literature [3], detailed data on counterparties to assess inter connectedness is not readily available to outsiders. In particular, we propose the following steps:

#### During the crisis

- 1. Review each liability (on or off-balance sheet) and evaluate whether that liability will be callable when a potential systemic event happens (say a 40% decline in the stock market).
- If a liability is callable, consider whether the firm has earmarked specific assets to pay off that liability (e.g., separate account assets offset against separate account liabilities for a life insurer).
- 3. If the firm does not have specific assets set aside, assume the higher quality assets will be sold first to pay off the liability. If these assets are not cash or cash equivalents, assume that the liquidated assets will be sold at a discount that is appropriate for that basket of securities during such a crisis.
- 4. The extent of the expected sale of securities will provide an indication of the potential impact of such a sale on the market for that security. For instance, if the institution were

forced to liquidate \$100 billion of BB bonds, it might be worth asking whether such a sale might seize up the market for such bonds.

- 5. Charge these losses from sales of securities against the firm's book value of equity.
- 6. Account for potential asset write downs caused by the systemic event. For instance, if the institution owns equity securities, a 40% decline in the stock market index will entail a corresponding write down in the value of those securities against the firm's book value of equity.
- Assume goodwill will be worthless, should a systemic event occur, and hence reduces the book value of equity. Also, review the need to potentially write off intangible assets such as the VOBA or DAC costs on the balance sheet.

### Post crisis

- 8. The assets left-over will, by definition, represent less-liquid or even lower quality claims. Now compute 8% of the left-over assets (based on Basel standards) and designate that number as the institution's required loss absorption capacity after the crisis has passed. Ensure that such loss absorption capacity exceeds available equity at the institution. For completeness, consider both available book equity as per GAAP and equity under statutory capital guidelines applicable to that institution. This comparison should predict whether the institution will have to raise new capital should a systemic event occur.
- 9. Validate the required loss absorption capacity of the institution. That is, apply "haircuts" to these assets based on approximate loss default rates that apply to these assets based on their credit ratings. For instance, if the left-over asset portfolio, after paying off callable liabilities, is made up of half of AAA bonds and half of BBB rated bonds of 10-year duration, one could assume haircuts, representing expected future defaults, consisting of

0.73% (3.63%) of AAA (BBB) bonds. These "haircuts" represent "business as usual" losses/defaults after the crisis has abated. The excess, if any, of these haircuts over the institution's loss absorption capacity (based on the 8% Basel standards) represents the financial statement based measure of SRISK or CRISK.

We illustrate this approach for three business models, as mentioned before. Let's start with Prudential Insurance.

## 3. Prudential Insurance

#### 3.1 Introduction to Prudential's liabilities

Prudential is primarily a life insurance business. Prudential's balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, from its 2015 10-K is reproduced in the APPENDIX 1. A glance at the assets side of the balance sheet reveals that the three largest asset types are (i) fixed maturities - available for sale of \$290 billion; (ii) separate accounts of \$286 billion; and (iii) commercial mortgages of \$50.6 billion. These three assets collectively account for 83% (627/757) of Prudential's total assets.

Turning to the liabilities side, we find that the top three liabilities include: (i) separate accounts of \$286 billion; (ii) future policy benefits of \$224 billion; and (iii) \$137 billion in policyholders' account balances. These three liabilities cover 90% (647/715) of Prudential's liabilities. Prudential's equity, as per U.S. GAAP based balance sheet and including non-controlling interests, is \$41.9 billion.

As one can see, separate accounts of \$286 billion appear both on the assets and liabilities side. On page 184 of its 10-K, Prudential discloses the following information about separate accounts:

Separate account assets are reported at fair value and represent segregated funds that are invested for certain policyholders, pension funds and other customers. The assets consist primarily of equity securities, fixed maturities, real estate-related investments, real estate mortgage loans, short-term investments and derivative instruments. The assets of each account are legally segregated and are not subject to claims that arise out of any other business of the Company. Investment risks associated with market value changes are borne by the customers, except to the extent of minimum guarantees made by the Company with respect to certain accounts. Separate account liabilities primarily represent the contract holder's account balance in separate account assets and to a lesser extent borrowings of the separate account, and will be equal and offsetting to total separate account assets. The investment income and realized investment gains or losses from separate account assets generally accrue to the policyholders and are not included in the Company's results of operations.

Given that (i) the separate accounts are legally segregated from the rest of the business and; (ii) the investment risk on these assets is borne by customers, whose claims are represented by separate account liabilities, we net out separate account assets and liabilities for the purposes of our analysis. Even if the broad market index were to decline by 40% and the value of separate account assets were to fall by say 40%, that loss would be absorbed by a fall in separate account liabilities. It is interesting to note that elimination of these separate accounts, by itself, shrinks the assets side of Prudential's balance sheet by 38% (286/757) and its liabilities side by 40% (286/715) with no impact on its GAAP equity.

Let's turn to future policy benefits of \$224 billion. These represent obligations that the company owes its policy holders in the event the policy holder dies. We would not expect policyholder mortality to coincide with a systemic event such as a 40% decline in the stock market. Although this is a long-term obligation borne entirely by Prudential, we do not believe this liability is callable.

Finally, consider the notes to the financial statements on policy holders' account balances of \$137 billion. Policy holder dividends of \$5.58 billion are similar in spirit to policy holders' balances for our purposes.

#### Policyholders' Account Balances

The Company's liability for policyholders' account balances represents the contract value that has accrued to the benefit of the policyholder as of the

balance sheet date. This liability is primarily associated with the accumulated account deposits, plus interest credited, less policyholder withdrawals and other charges assessed against the account balance, as applicable. These policyholders' account balances also include provision for benefits under non-life contingent payout annuities and certain unearned revenues. See Note 10 for additional information regarding policyholders' account balances.

In simple terms, policyholder account balances represent pre-investment type contracts that do not impose risk on the insurer [4]. Hence, they are accounted for like bank deposits under SFAS 97 in which the policyholder can be thought of as the depositor and the insurer as the bank and the premiums as deposits. Premiums add to the financial liability held by the insurer, referred to as policyholder account balances. Policyholder account balances are increased by the interest expense over time and are reduced by the cash payments to the policyholder that are in effect cash withdrawals from the investment balance. Given this discussion, it is not obvious that a 40% broad market decline will change the risk borne by the insurer. More important, it is unlikely that policyholders would want to cash out their policies when the stock market falls by 40%.

The income tax liability of \$8.71 billion is mostly a deferred tax liability. In particular, note 19 on pages 177-179 of Prudential's 2015 Annual Report reveals a tax receivable of \$164 million that is offset by a deferred tax liability of \$8.93 billion. These represent future obligations due to the IRS on account of differences in the definition of income or expense between GAAP and IRS accounting. Hence, these obligations are not likely callable if the stock market falls by 40%.

#### **3.2** A more systematic approach

A detailed analysis of Prudential's CRISK is reported in Table 5 of this paper. The process behind the derivation of that number is as follows. In step 1, we ascertain the total callable liabilities in a crisis to be \$60 billion, derived from Prudential's contractual obligations disclosure for 2015 under the column "2016" reproduced below for reference.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

The table below summarizes the future estimated cash payments related to certain contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015. The estimated payments reflected in this table are based on management's estimates and assumptions about these obligations. Because these estimates and assumptions are necessarily subjective, the actual cash outflows in future periods will vary, possibly materially, from those reflected in the table. In addition, we do not believe that our cash flow requirements can be adequately assessed based solely upon an analysis of these obligations, as the table below does not contemplate all aspects of our cash inflows, such as the level of cash flow generated by certain of our investments, nor all aspects of our cash outflows.

				Estimat	ed Pa	yments Due b	y Per	iod	
	_	Total 2016			2	2017-2018	2	019-2020	2021 and thereafter
					(	in millions)			
Short-term and long-term debt obligations(1)	\$	41,678	\$	2,219	\$	4,921	\$	4,549	\$ 29,989
Operating and capital lease obligations(2)		665		131		209		127	198
Purchase obligations:									
Commitments to purchase or fund investments(3)		3,879		3,010		443		289	137
Commercial mortgage loan commitments(4)		2,272		1,619		600		30	23
Other liabilities:									
Insurance liabilities(5)		1,121,869		41,598		69,030		71,005	940,236
Other(6)		11,602		11,405		63		53	81
Total	S	1,181,965	\$	59,982	\$	75,266	\$	76,053	\$ 970,664

(1) The estimated payments due by period for long-term debt reflects the contractual maturities of principal, as disclosed in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, as well as estimated future interest payments. The payment of principal and estimated future interest for short-term debt are reflected in estimated payments due in 2016. The estimate for future interest payments includes the effect of derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting treatment. See Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information concerning our short-term and long-term debt.

(2) The estimated payments due by period for operating and capital leases reflect the future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating and capital leases, as disclosed in Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(3) As discussed in Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we have commitments to purchase or fund investments, some of which are contingent upon events or circumstances not under our control, including those at the discretion of our counterparties. The timing of the fulfillment of certain of these commitments cannot be estimated, therefore the settlement of these obligations are reflected in estimated payments due in less than one year. Commitments to purchase or fund investments include \$92 million that we anticipate will ultimately be funded from our separate accounts.

(4) As discussed in Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, loan commitments of our commercial mortgage operations, which are legally binding commitments to extend credit to a counterparty, have been reflected in the contractual obligations table above principally based on the expiration date of the commitment; however, it is possible these loan commitments could be funded prior to their expiration date. In certain circumstances the counterparty has extend the date of the expiration in a change for a fee.

loan commitments could be funded prior to their expiration date. In certain circumstances the counterparty may also extend the date of the expiration in exchange for a fee.
(5) The estimated cash flows due by period for insurance liabilities reflect future estimated cash payments to be made to policyholders and others for future policy benefits, policyholders' account balances, policyholder's dividends, reinsurance payables and separate account liabilities, net of premium receipts and reinsurance recoverables. These future estimated cash flows are undiscounted with respect to interest. The sum of the cash flows shown for all years in the table of \$1,122 billion exceeds the corresponding liability amounts of approximately \$654 billion included in the Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2015. Separate account liabilities are legally insulated from general account obligations, and it is generally expected these liabilities will be fully funded by separate account east of the significance of the assumptions used, actual cash flows will differ. possibly materially. rossibly materially. rossibly materially. rossibly materially. rossibly materially.

the underlying policies and contracts. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, actual cash flows will differ, possibly materially, from these estimates.
 The estimated payments due by period for other liabilities includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase, cash collateral for loaned securities, liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, bank customer liabilities, and other miscellaneous liabilities. Amounts presented in the table also exclude \$8,597 billion of note issued by consolidated VIE's which recourse for these obligations is limited to the assets of the respective VIE and do not have recourse to the general credit of the company.

We also enter into agreements to purchase goods and services in the normal course of business; however, these purchase obligations are not material to our consolidated results of operations or financial position as of December 31, 2015.

Figure 2. Prudential's contractual obligations disclosure.

The contractual obligations disclosure (Figure 2) reveals that \$60 billion is due one year out. The largest obligation is the \$41.6 billion obligation due in 2016 related to insurance liabilities. As the note indicates, that number includes liabilities due under future policy benefits, policyholder account balances, policyholder dividends, reinsurance payables and separate account liabilities. Prudential does not reveal how much of this obligation relates to separate accounts versus the rest.

In 2016, \$11.4 billion appears as "other liabilities." This number includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase, cash collateral for loaned securities, and other miscellaneous liabilities and \$2.22 billion is due in long term debt and short-term debt. We cannot ascertain whether the

obligation of \$11.4 billion of other liabilities is likely to come due in a week or a month. Hence, finer data, with a periodicity of less than a year, related to when these obligations are actually due next year would assist in refining this analysis. Second, the disclosure ignores the asset side of the discussion. For instance, it is quite possible that Prudential has matched these liabilities with its assets and can use bonds that are set to mature, whose proceeds are earmarked to pay off these liabilities. However, data unavailability precludes us from addressing such assets earmarked against these liabilities.

We have assumed that investment commitments of \$3.01 billion will be due when a systemic event happens. We also include operating and capital lease obligations of \$131 million and commercial mortgage loan commitments \$ 1.62 billion in callable liabilities. Notes due by variable interest entities (VIEs) consolidated into Prudential's books amount to \$8.60 billion. Note 5, shown below in Figure 3, clarifies that that these obligations are due by the VIEs over five years, are offset by an equivalent amount of dedicated assets and Prudential is not legally responsible for any deficit, if any, in the VIE's capital. Hence, we consider these unlikely to be callable for our purposes here.

#### 5. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

In the normal course of its activities, the Company enters into relationships with various special-purpose entities and other entities that are deemed to be variable interest entities ("VIEs"). A VIE is an entity that either (1) has equity investors that lack certain essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest (including the ability to control activities of the entity, the obligation to absorb the entity's expected losses and the right to receive the entity's expected residual returns) or (2) lacks sufficient equity to finance its own activities without financial support provided by other entities, which in turn would be expected to absorb at least some of the expected losses of the VIE.

For all other VIEs, the Company is the primary beneficiary if the Company has (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity and (2) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could be potentially significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could be potentially significant.

Figure 3. Prudential's variable interest entities (Note 5).

#### **3.3 Evaluating the asset position**

The question turns next to how Prudential would fund the immediately callable liability of \$59.9 billion. Step 2 of Table 5 lists the "high quality" liquid assets that Prudential could sell to

If the Company determines that it is the VIE's "primary beneficiary" it consolidates the VIE. There are currently two models for determining whether or not the Company is the "primary beneficiary" of a VIE. The first (the "Investment Company Model") relates to those VIEs that have the characteristics of an investment company and for which certain other conditions are true. These conditions are that (1) the Company does not have the implicit or explicit obligation to fund losses of the VIE and (2) the VIE is not a securitization entity, asset-backed financing entity or an entity that was formerly considered a qualified special-purpose entity. In this model the Company is the primary beneficiary if it stands to absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses or to receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns.

pay off the liability. As shown in step 2, Prudential has access to \$126 billion of such highly liquid assets. Hence, Prudential should be able to comfortably to settle its immediate obligations of \$59.9 billion.

Turning to the details, we find that Prudential carries cash and cash equivalents of \$17.6 billion, including \$1.04 billion that belongs to the closed block. We assume none of the closed block assets can be used to pay the callable liability but the remaining \$16.6 billion is available. After using up the cash, it seems logical to assume that Prudential would start selling its U.S. treasuries before trying to liquidate its other securities should a systemic event occur.

Note 4 in the 2015 10-K, reproduced below (Figure 4), provides the details of the \$290 billion of securities held by Prudential.

#### 4. INVESTMENTS

Fixed Maturities and Equity Securities

The following tables provide information relating to fixed maturities and equity securities (excluding investments classified as trading) as of the dates indicated:

					De	cember 31, 2	015			
	1	Amortized Cost	τ	Gross Inrealized Gains	1	Gross Unrealized Losses		Fair Value		OTTI in AOCI(4)
						(in millions)				
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale										
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government authorities and agencies	\$	14,992	\$	3,544	\$	19	\$	18,517	s	0
Obligations of U.S. states and their political subdivisions		8,089		747		41		8,795		0
Foreign government bonds		71,849		12,011		147		83,713		1
U.S. corporate public securities		70,979		6,344		1,955		75,368		(3)
U.S. corporate private securities(1)		28,525		2,278		359		30,444		0
Foreign corporate public securities		26,354		2,821		621		28,554		0
Foreign corporate private securities		19,393		739		994		19,138		0
Asset-backed securities(2)		10,121		226		121		10,226		(452)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		10,337		195		70		10,462		(1)
Residential mortgage-backed securities(3)		4,777		335		6		5,106		(4)
Total fixed maturities, available-for-sale(1)	\$	265,416	\$	29,240	\$	4,333	\$	290,323	\$	(459)
Equity securities, available-for-sale	\$	6,847	\$	2,570	\$	143	\$	9,274		
	_				_		_			

Figure 4. Note 4 of Prudential's 10-K, 2015.

Assume that Prudential can sell \$18.5 billion of U.S. treasuries and \$8.80 billion of U.S. state and municipal bonds to cover the callable liability. Companies may need to sell assets at a discounted price, should a systemic crisis occur. To account for that possibility, we apply haircuts on these securities as per data gathered by the Bank for International Settlements (2010) (see Table 1 to estimate haircuts on securities sold in June 2009 (closest date to the crisis that we could get

data for).

	]	During crisis (June 2009	9)
Haircuts	Prime	Non-prime	Unrated
G7 Government bonds			
Short term	0.5	1	2
Long term	1	2	3
US agencies			
Short term	1	2	3
Long term	2	5	7
Prime MBS			
AAA	10	20	65
AA- and A-	100	100	100
ABS	25	50	100
Structured products (AAA)	100	100	100
Investment grade bonds			
AAA and AA	8	12	15
A and BBB	10	15	20
High yield bonds	15	20	40

Table 1. Typical haircut on term securities financing transactions (in percent).

We do not have precise information on whether Prudential holds short-term or medium-term bonds. Hence, we have averaged the haircuts for short-term and medium-term bonds under the "Prime" category to compute haircuts applicable to the following three sets of assets: (i) G7 government bonds; (ii) U.S. agencies (covering treasuries and U.S. state and municipal bonds). The amount, thus raised, after haircuts on a hypothetical sale is (\$8.80 - \$0.10) + (\$18.5 - \$0.10) = \$27.1 billion. That sale leaves about \$16.2 billion (\$59.9-\$16.6-\$27.1 billion) of contractual obligations uncovered.

Next, we assume that Prudential can sell \$16.6 billion of foreign government bonds including \$0.3 billion haircuts even in a systemic crisis. We believe that the absolute magnitude of the sale (\$59.9 billion in total) is small enough for the market to absorb such a sale without disrupting the functioning of the market in such securities.

### 3.4 Crisis haircuts

The systemic event itself will lead to haircuts of \$5.53 billion in the value of assets held by Prudential. Consider the data reported in step 3 of Table 5. We estimate those haircuts as follows: (i) a haircut of \$2.62 billion in equity securities (see section 3.4.1); (ii) \$2.78 billion haircut in trading assets (see section 3.4.2); and (iii) \$132 million in commercial mortgage commitments (see section 3.4.3).

### **3.4.1 Equity securities**

A closer look at the balance sheet reveals that Prudential holds equity securities worth \$9.27 billion, in which \$2.73 billion is not taken into consideration as it belongs to the closed block. A 40% decline in the stock market would cause an equivalent 40% haircut of \$2.62 billion.

#### **3.4.2 Trading account assets**

As revealed by the trading assets disclosures (Figure 5), 91% of \$20.5 billion is considered high or highest quality. For the 91% of the trading asset portfolio, we assign a haircut of 9% (average haircut of 8% and 10% applicable to the investment grade bonds and discussed in section 3.2). For the rest of the 9% of the portfolio, we assign the haircut of 15% applicable to high yield bonds as per Table 1 in section 3.2. Combined, this haircut accumulates to \$2.78 billion.

#### Trading Account Assets Supporting Insurance Liabilities

The following table sets forth the composition of the TAASIL portfolio attributable to PFI excluding the Closed Block division as of the dates indicated.

		December 31, 2015			2015	December			r 31, 2014	
		Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Amortized Cost			Fair Value	
	-	(in				nillion	s)			
Short-term investments and cash equivalents	5	5	765	\$	765	\$	196	\$	196	
Fixed maturities:										
Corporate securities			12,797		12,851		11,922		12,439	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities			1,860		1,862		2,505		2,546	
Residential mortgage-backed securities			1,411		1,428		1,640		1,676	
Asset-backed securities			1,295		1,299		1,180		1,198	
Foreign government bonds			680		694		621		650	
U.S. government authorities and agencies and obligations of U.S. states			326		369		303		372	
Total fixed maturities	_		18,369	_	18,503		18,171	_	18,881	
Equity securities			1,030		1,254		896		1,186	
Total trading account assets supporting insurance liabilities	5	5	20,164	\$	20,522	\$	19,263	\$	20,263	

As a percentage of amortized cost, 77% and 75% of the portfolio was publicly-traded as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, 91% and 92%, respectively, of the fixed maturity portfolio was considered high or highest quality based on NAIC or equivalent rating. As of December 31, 2015, \$1.377 billion of the residential mortgage-backed securities were publicly-traded agency pass-through securities, which are supported by implicit or explicit government guarantees, of which more than 99% have credit ratings of A or higher. Collateralized mortgage obligations, including approximately \$26 million secured by "ALT-A" mortgages, represented the remaining \$34 million of residential mortgage in the fair value, see "—Experience-Rated Contractholder Liabilities, Trading Account Assets Supporting Insurance Liabilities and Other Related Investments," above.

Figure 5. Prudential's trading account assets supporting insurance liabilities, 2015.

### 3.4.3 Commercial mortgages

Prudential carries \$50.6 billion of commercial mortgages. Page 207 of its 10-K discloses credit quality indicators of such loans. We assume that loans with debt-service coverage ratio of less than 1 and loan-to-value ratio of more than 80% will default in a systemic event (Figure 6). That would suggest a haircut of \$132 million.

#### PRUDENTIAL FINANCIAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Commercial mortgage loans

		De	bt Serv	ice Coverage l	Ratio—	December 31, 2	015	
	G	Greater than 1.2X		)X to <1.2X		Less than 1.0X		Total
				(in n	illions)	1		
Loan-to-Value Ratio								
0%-59.99%	S	25,978	\$	515	\$	207	\$	26,700
60%-69.99%		12,191		395		234		12,820
70%-79.99%		5,668		500		97		6,265
Greater than 80%		119		151		132		402
Total commercial mortgage loans	S	43,956	\$	1,561	\$	670	\$	46,187

#### Agricultural property loans

I	Oebt Ser	vice Coverage R	atio—	December 31, 201	5	
	1.	.0X to <1.2X		Less than 1.0X		Total
		(in mi	llio <b>ns)</b>			
\$ 2,587	\$	84	S	3	\$	2,674
185		0		0		185
0		0		0		0
0		0		0		0
\$ 2,772	\$	84	\$	3	\$	2,859
	Greater than 1.2X \$ 2,587 185 0 0	Greater than         1.2X         1.           \$ 2,587         \$           \$ 185         0           0         0	Greater than 1.2X         1.0X to <1.2X           (in mill           \$ 2,587         \$ 84           185         0           0         0           0         0           0         0	Greater than 1.2X         1.0X to <1.2X           (in millions)           \$ 2,587         \$ 84           185         0           0         0           0         0	Greater than 1.2X         Less than 1.0X to <1.2X         Less than 1.0X           \$         2,587         \$         \$         \$         3           \$         2,587         \$         \$         \$         3           \$         2,587         \$         \$         \$         3           \$         2,587         \$         \$         \$         3           \$         0         0         0         0         0           \$         0         0         0         0         0	1.2X         1.0X to <1.2X         1.0X           (in millions)           \$         2,587         \$         84         \$         3         \$           185         0 <t< td=""></t<>

Figure 6. Prudential's commercial mortgage loans, 2015.

An aging schedule reveals the following data (on page 209). Non-accruing and past due loans are

negligibly small at \$23 million (Figure 7).

						Decemb	er 31	, 2015				
	Curre	nt	30-59 Days Past Due	89 Days ist Due	1	Greater Than 90 Days - Accruing		Greater Than 90 Days - Not Accruing	 al Past Due	Total Commercial Mortgage and Other Loans	Ac	Non- ccrual tatus
						(in r	nillio	ns)				
Commercial mortgage loans	\$ 46,1	87 8	S 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 46,187	\$	53
Agricultural property loans	2,8	56	2	0		0		1	3	2,859		1
Residential property loans	2	88	7	0		0		6	13	301		6
Other collateralized loans	3	12	0	0		0		0	0	312		0
Uncollateralized loans	1,0	12	0	0		0		0	0	1,012		0
Total	\$ 50,6	55 8	S 9	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	7	\$ 16	\$ 50,671	\$	60

#### PRUDENTIAL FINANCIAL, INC. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Figure 7. Prudential's commercial mortgage loans (cond.), 2015.

## 3.5 Post-crisis haircuts

We assume the remaining assets will continue to default/become unrecoverable after the crisis at the rates that would prevail during the course of normal business. In particular, we apply the

# S&P Corporate Average Cumulative Default rates 1981-2015 (Table 2), to estimate the post-crisis haircuts associated with the assets that are left after the systemic event.

	Credit Ratings – Global (1981 – 2015) (%)														
Rating/Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
AAA	0.00	0.03	0.14	0.25	0.35	0.47	0.52	0.61	0.67	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.82	0.89	0.96
AA+	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.17	0.23	0.29	0.35	0.41	0.47	0.54	0.61	0.68	0.75	0.82
AA	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.23	0.38	0.50	0.64	0.75	0.85	0.96	1.05	1.11	1.23	1.30	1.38
AA-	0.03	0.10	0.21	0.30	0.38	0.49	0.57	0.62	0.69	0.75	0.82	0.89	0.92	0.97	1.03
A+	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.40	0.52	0.62	0.75	0.89	1.04	1.21	1.36	1.53	1.73	1.96	2.14
А	0.07	0.18	0.28	0.42	0.57	0.77	0.97	1.16	1.38	1.63	1.84	2.00	2.14	2.22	2.41
A-	0.09	0.22	0.36	0.49	0.68	0.87	1.14	1.34	1.50	1.64	1.78	1.93	2.07	2.21	2.33
BBB+	0.15	0.41	0.70	0.98	1.26	1.59	1.84	2.11	2.41	2.71	3.00	3.20	3.46	3.80	4.19
BBB	0.23	0.56	0.85	1.26	1.67	2.08	2.46	2.83	3.23	3.63	4.07	4.47	4.79	4.92	5.16
BBB-	0.36	1.06	1.83	2.67	3.44	4.13	4.76	5.35	5.84	6.32	6.87	7.32	7.75	8.37	8.84
BB+	0.49	1.38	2.48	3.53	4.51	5.49	6.31	6.91	7.65	8.35	8.83	9.41	9.96	10.41	11.03
BB	0.76	2.25	4.25	6.01	7.68	9.01	10.22	11.20	12.12	12.91	13.69	14.37	14.70	14.93	15.27
BB-	1.22	3.70	6.17	8.50	10.52	12.49	14.14	15.73	17.03	18.17	19.04	19.69	20.39	21.09	21.68
B+	2.51	6.64	10.54	13.76	16.15	18.03	19.74	21.23	22.61	23.87	24.85	25.61	26.34	27.00	27.60
В	5.59	11.77	16.40	19.42	21.61	23.67	25.08	26.08	26.90	27.72	28.42	29.05	29.63	30.19	30.85
B-	8.74	16.36	21.49	25.01	27.82	29.82	31.46	32.51	33.18	33.76	34.56	35.17	35.44	35.75	36.10
CCC/C	27.22	36.41	41.59	44.64	46.99	47.84	48.79	49.59	50.48	51.12	51.61	52.24	53.08	53.74	53.74
Investment grade	0.12	0.32	0.54	0.80	1.06	1.32	1.56	1.79	2.02	2.25	2.47	2.66	2.84	3.02	3.21
Speculative grade	4.29	8.28	11.61	14.19	16.25	17.93	19.36	20.54	21.60	22.55	23.34	24.00	24.60	25.13	25.65
All rated	1.59	3.12	4.44	5.51	6.40	7.16	7.80	8.35	8.85	9.32	9.72	10.05	10.36	10.64	10.92

Table 2. S&P Global Corporate Average Cumulative Default Rates (1981-2015) (in percent).

The post crisis haircuts, as shown in step 3 of Table 5 cumulate to \$2.95 billion. Of this, \$2.30 billion relates the fixed maturities portfolio, discussed next.

## **3.5.1 Fixed maturities portfolio**

Consider Prudential's disclosure of the NAIC assigned ratings of its fixed maturities securities portfolio.

December 31, 2015 December 31, 2014 Gross Gross Gross Gross Unrealized Unrealized Fair Amortized Unrealized Unrealized Amortized Fair Cost NAIC Designation(1)(2) Gains(3) Losses(3)(4) Valu Cost Gains(3) Losses(3)(4) Value (in millions) 177.350 22.783 1.445 S 198.688 S 176.122 25.715 564 201.273 45,884 2 3,698 4,934 402 43,731 1,545 42,111 46,643 Subtotal High or Highest Quality Securities(5) 221.081 26,481 2,990 244.572 218,233 30,649 966 247,916 3 7,085 408 292 7.201 6,619 537 58 7,098 4 2,332 150 1002,382 2,228 204 50 2,382 415 78 441 83 24 5 12 481 500 6 367 20 4 383 264 22 3 283 Subtotal Other Securities(6)(7) 10,199 656 408 10,447 9,552 846 135 10,263 27,137 **Total Fixed Maturities** \$ 231,280 3,398 255,019 \$ 227,785 31,495 1,101 258,179

(1) Reflects equivalent ratings for investments of the international insurance operations

(2) Includes, as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, 938 securities with amortized cost of \$4,253 million (fair value, \$4,325 million) and 1,330 securities with amortized cost of \$6,864 million (fair value, \$7,342 million), respectively, that have been categorized based on expected NAIC Designations pending receipt of SVO ratings.
 (3) Includes \$316 million of gross unrealized gains and \$0 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2015, compared to \$328 million of gross unrealized gains and \$1

(3) Includes \$316 million of gross unrealized gains and 50 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2015, compared to \$328 million of gross unrealized gains and \$1 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2014, on securities classified as held-to-maturity.
(4) As of December 31, 2015, includes gross unrealized losses of \$212 million on public fixed maturities and \$196 million on private fixed maturities considered to be other than

(4) As of December 31, 2015, includes gross unrealized losses of \$212 million on public fixed maturities and \$196 million on private fixed maturities considered to be other than high or highest quality and, as of December 31, 2014, includes gross unrealized losses of \$71 million on public fixed maturities and \$64 million on private fixed maturities considered to be other than high or highest quality.

(5) On an amortized cost basis, as of December 31, 2015, includes \$190,638 million of public fixed maturities and \$30,443 million of private fixed maturities and, as of December 31, 2014, includes \$189,713 million of public fixed maturities and \$28,520 million of private fixed maturities.

(6) On an amortized cost basis, as of December 31, 2015, includes \$5,836 million of public fixed maturities and \$4,363 million of private fixed maturities and, as of December 31, 2014, includes \$5,712 million of public fixed maturities and \$3,840 million of private fixed maturities.
 (7) On an amortized cost basis, as of December 31, 2015, securities considered below investment grade based on lowest of external rating agency ratings, total \$11,491 million, or

(7) On an amortized cost basis, as of December 31, 2015, securities considered below investment grade based on lowest of external rating agency ratings, total \$11,491 million, or 5% of the total fixed maturities, and include securities considered high or highest quality by the NAIC based on the rules described above.

#### Figure 8. Prudential's NAIC disclosure.

#### Fixed Maturity Securities Credit Quality

The Securities Valuation Office ("SVO") of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"), evaluates the investments of insurers for statutory reporting purposes and assigns fixed maturity securities to one of six categories called "NAIC Designations." In general, NAIC Designations of "1" highest quality, or "2" high quality, include fixed maturities considered investment grade, which include securities rated Baa3 or higher by Moody's or BBB- or higher by Standard & Poor's. NAIC Designations of "3" through "6" generally include fixed maturities referred to as below investment grade, which include securities rated Ba1 or lower by Moody's and BB+ or lower by Standard & Poor's. The NAIC Designations for commercial mortgage-backed securities and non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities, including our asset-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime mortgages, are based on security level expected losses as modeled by an independent third-party (engaged by the NAIC) and the statutory carrying value of the security, including any purchase discounts or impairment charges previously recognized.

As a result of time lags between the funding of investments, the finalization of legal documents, and the completion of the SVO filing process, the fixed maturity portfolio generally includes securities that have not yet been designated by the SVO as of each balance sheet date. Pending receipt of SVO designations, the categorization of these securities by NAIC Designation is based on the expected ratings indicated by internal analysis.

Investments of our international insurance companies are not subject to NAIC guidelines. Investments of our Japanese insurance operations are regulated locally by the Financial Services Agency, an agency of the Japanese government. The Financial Services Agency has its own investment quality criteria and risk control standards. Our Japanese insurance companies comply with the Financial Services Agency's credit quality review and risk monitoring guidelines. The credit quality ratings of the investments of our Japanese insurance companies are based on ratings assigned by nationally recognized credit rating agencies, including Moody's, Standard & Poor's, or rating equivalents based on ratings assigned by Japanese credit ratings agencies.

The following table sets forth our fixed maturity portfolio by NAIC Designation or equivalent ratings attributable to PFI excluding the Closed Block division as of the dates indicated.

Figure 9. Prudential's fixed maturity securities credit quality.

Of the \$290 billion of fixed maturity securities shown in the balance sheet, Prudential shows

the ratings composition for about \$255 billion (Figure 8). The rest pertain to the "closed block"

division of Prudential, which is a legally separate entity.

As can be seen, the \$255 billion of securities breaks out into the following six buckets (see Figure 9): (i) NAICS rating 1 and 2 (equivalent to BBB- or higher) covers \$245 billion; and (ii) NAICS ratings of 3-6 (equivalent to BB+ or lower) cover the remaining \$10.5 billion. We apply 3-year investment grade default rate 0.54% for NAICS 1-2 and 3-year speculative grade default rate 11.61% for NAICS 3-6.

Recall that \$43.8 billion (\$60.4 billion assets sold – \$16.6 billion cash) billion of securities would have been sold from this portfolio to settle liabilities. Assuming default rates in the middle of this range, Prudential is likely to take a haircut of <u>\$2.3 billion</u> in its fixed maturities portfolio  $0.54\% \times ($245 - $43.8) + 11.61\% \times $10.5 = $2.3$  billion. We also assume a similar composition for fixed maturities (held-to-maturity) and use default rates for 10-year instead of 3-year. The resulting \$71.1 million defaults are negligible.

#### 3.5.2 Non-fixed maturities portfolio

For rest of the non-fixed maturities assets, we apply S&P default rates (Table 2) based on the following assumptions. (1) 1-year short-term A rating default rate (0.07%) for short-term investments and other trading account assets; (2) 10-year long-term A rating default rate (1.63%) for other long-term investments; (3) 5-year A rating default rate (0.57%) for other assets: commercial mortgage, policy loans, accrued investment income and other assets. This gives rise to a default of <u>\$579 million</u> (exclusive of closed block assets) (short-term \$15 million + long-term \$115 million + other assets \$365 million + remaining trading accounts \$84 million).

A caveat related to these haircuts deserves mention. Insurance companies, such as Prudential, discount their policy obligations by a rate a return that implicitly includes the profit margin on these policies. One can consider the margins as unearned revenue. As the unearned revenue gets earned, such margins would offset some of the haircuts in assets discussed above. However, we

could not find that disclosures on such margins in Prudential's 10-K. Hence, we could not address this issue in our analysis.

## **3.6 CRISK**

Let's consider the modified balance sheet of Prudential after the systemic event. Liabilities of \$59.9 billion and equivalent set of assets have left the books. Hence, the revised assets number at Prudential would be \$757-\$59.9 or \$697 billion. On top of that, let's eliminate separate accounts of \$286 billion to leave us with an asset balance of \$411 billion. Recall that \$224 billion on the liabilities side relates to future policy benefits, which are reserves set aside to pay future policy holders. It seems odd to provide for capital on such reserves, which are themselves funds set aside to meet future policy obligations. Hence, we exclude future policy benefits from the SRISK computation. Following Basel conventions, SRISK assumes that 8% of these liabilities would represent a safe capital target. By that calculation, Prudential would have to hold \$15 billion of capital ( $8\% \times ($757 - $60 - $286 - $224$ ) = \$15 billion).

In the CRISK model detailed in step 4 of Table 5, we eliminate the Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) balance from the book value of equity, which leads to a remaining number of \$29.6 billion (\$41.9 - \$12.3 billion). We do so because AOCI reflects unrealized gains and losses on just assets but not the liabilities in general for Prudential.

The haircuts during the crisis, adding up to \$6.01 billion (\$483 million from selling assets to cover callable liabilities and \$5.53 billion from Section 3.4 Crisis haircuts), would further reduce book value of equity to \$23.6 billion (\$29.6-\$6.01 billion). On top of that, to be conservative, we write off intangible assets valued at \$19.5 billion (VOBA of \$2.83 billion and \$16.7 billion of DAC) noting in the process that not all of the DAC is likely to be unrecoverable. <u>Thus, the resultant book value of equity left is \$4.10 billion</u>. SRISK defines expected capital shortfall as prudent capital

minus the capital left after the crisis. To compare apples with apples, a financial statement based SRISK measure would be \$10.9 billion (\$15.0 billion - \$4.10 billion). <u>Hence, Prudential's CRISK</u> is \$10.9 billion.

The NYU website expects Prudential's SRISK or expected capital shortfall (without simulation), given a crisis to be \$47.5 billion as of March 31, 2016. What might explain the mismatch between the NYU SRISK measure and ours? To understand that, we try to reconstruct the SRISK measure. The loss absorption capacity would be 8% of (\$715 billion in liabilities and x billion, the market value of equity left after a 40% fall in the stock price). The market value of equity, before the 40% fall in market value, is \$32.4 billion, as of 3/31/2016.<sup>3</sup> If SRISK is \$47.5 billion, NYU implicitly assumes only \$10.5 billion equivalent of market value of equity is left after the crisis, after solving for x. That is, a 40% decline in the market index is expected to wipe off \$21.9 billion of market value of equity, implying a beta of 1.7. Turning to the question of why CRISK and SRISK differ, note that as discussed before, not all of the \$715 billion of Prudential's liabilities are callable. Separate accounts themselves account for \$285 billion of those liabilities and are hence excluded. Even if we were to just eliminate separate accounts from the NYU SRISK calculation, SRISK would fall by \$23.0 billion (8%×\$286 billion). The risk of market fluctuations in \$137 billion of policyholder account balances, as discussed earlier, is borne mostly by the policy holders. If one were to eliminate that balance from the NYU SRISK calculation, SRISK would fall further by \$11.0 billion (8%×\$137 billion).

Second, SRISK assumes that the estimated market value of equity lost from the systemic event, \$21.9 billion, would cover (i) losses on assets held by Prudential due to the crisis; and (ii) the present value of future earnings lost by Prudential due to the crisis. As demonstrated earlier,

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  The closing stock price was \$72.22 as of 3/31/2016 and the number of outstanding shares as per Prudential's balance sheet in APPENDIX 1 is 449.1 million shares.

Prudential has high quality assets such as treasuries to offset its immediately callable liabilities. Hence, it is not obvious that Prudential will incur substantial losses from asset sales during a crisis. Prudential could simply sit tight and wait for the storm to pass.

Turning to the present value of future earnings lost, our procedure captures this intuition using write offs of goodwill balances and other acquired intangible assets. Arguably, all of the DAC is unlikely to be worthless as policy holders acquired by spending those resources will not all prematurely cancel their policies with Prudential if the stock market were to crash by 40%. Even if all policyholders sought to prematurely cash out their policies, they would incur fairly substantial surrender charges. Moreover, it is not clear that an institution should raise capital to offset all future lost earnings, as long as it is solvent enough to meet its current obligations. As a counter point, CRISK ignores the addition to capital stemming from earnings from the normal business of writing insurance policies during the six months or the year when the crisis might pay out. Further, the state regulatory authorities would force life insurers to raise capital only when the risk based capital (RBC) ratio falls below a certain threshold (e.g., below 100%).

There is potentially another perspective one can take to computing CRISK. One could argue that at a bare minimum, Prudential would need to hold capital that is enough to cover expected future defaults. The expected post-crisis default number for Prudential is \$2.95 billion. Considering that Prudential's book value after the crisis is \$4.10 billion, it has excess capital of \$1.13 billion.

#### **3.7** Comparison with book capital and RBC

Let's compare the \$33.0 billion of capital required with what Prudential already has. The book value of Prudential's capital, without the AOCI component, is about \$29.6 billion.<sup>4</sup> On page 141

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This assumption assumes that assets and liabilities are well matched in terms of duration. Otherwise the unrealized gains/losses in assets will not approximately offset the unrealized losses/gains in liabilities.

of its 2015 annual report, Prudential reports that its RBC capital ratio, as of December 31, 2015, was greater than 498%. It is not obvious how the sale of securities and the settlement of callable liabilities during the crisis would affect Prudential's RBC ratio. However, 498% appears high enough to tentatively conjecture that Prudential's RBC will not fall below 100% after the crisis.

### 4. Chubb

We turn next to the discussion of a large P&C insurer – Chubb. Chubb's balance sheet is reproduced in APPENDIX 2. Chubb's asset base is \$102 billion as of December 31, 2015. The three major categories of assets are (i) investments of \$66.3 billion, comprising primarily of fixed maturities available for sale of \$43.6 billion; and (ii) reinsurance recoverable amounts of \$11.4 billion; and (iii) \$5.3 billion of insurance and reinsurance balances receivable; and \$5.68 billion in goodwill from prior acquisitions. These assets collectively account for 82% (83.3/102) of Chubb's assets.

Turning to the liabilities side, the top five liabilities are (i) unpaid losses and loss expenses of \$37.3 billion; (ii) \$9.45 billion of long term debt; and (iii) \$8.44 billion of unearned premiums; (iv) \$6.2 billion of accounts payable; and (v) \$4.81 billion of future policy benefits. These five liabilities, collectively, account for \$66.2 billion or 90% (66.2/73.2) of total liabilities. The GAAP equity held by Chubb is \$29.1 billion. P&C companies are required to estimate the potential claims and payouts, which in Chubb's case, amount to \$37 billion. Theoretically, P&C companies are required to hold capital to ensure that they can meet their actuarially estimated losses for at least their biggest concentration of risk exposures. P&C company's portfolios usually hold fairly short duration investments to be able to sell these to meet unpaid claims.

#### 4.1 Callable liabilities

To assess Chubb's callable liabilities, we turn, as usual to its contractual obligations disclosure, reproduced in step 1 of Table 6. As shown in Figure 10, Chubb owes \$12.6 billion of liabilities in the short term. The largest liability of \$9.26 billion represents gross loss payments under insurance and reinsurance contracts, although the note accompanying the disclosure claims that the actual dollar amount is uncertain. The other big liability is \$1.40 billion for security repurchase contracts.

#### **Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

The following table presents our future payments due by period under contractual obligations at December 31, 2015:

	Payments Due By Period						
			2017	2019			
(in millions of U.S. dollars)	Total	2016	and 2018	and 2020	Thereafter		
Payment amounts determinable from the respective contracts							
Deposit liabilities <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,259	\$ 23	\$ 45	\$ 35	\$ 1,156		
Purchase obligations <sup>(2)</sup>	375	144	163	68	-		
Limited partnerships – funding commitments(3)	824	415	322	87	-		
Operating leases	459	111	165	97	86		
Repurchase agreements	1,404	1,404	-	-	—		
Long-term debt	9,461	-	802	1,800	6,859		
Trust preferred securities	309	_	_	-	309		
Interest on debt obligations	5,075	390	728	644	3,313		
Total obligations in which payment amounts are determinable from the respective contracts	19,166	2,487	2,225	2,731	11,723		
Payment amounts not determinable from the respective contracts							
Estimated gross loss payments under insurance and reinsurance contracts	37,348	9,257	9,811	5,283	12,997		
Estimated payments for future policy benefits	20,090	814	1,786	1,498	15,992		
Total contractual obligations and commitments	\$ 76,604	\$ 12,558	\$ 13,822	\$ 9,512	\$ 40,712		

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to Note 1 k) to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(2) Primarily comprises audit fees and agreements with vendors to purchase system software administration and maintenance services.

<sup>(3)</sup> The timing of the payments of these commitments is uncertain and will differ from the estimated timing in the table.

Figure 10. Chubb's contractual obligations.

### 4.2 High quality liquid assets to settle obligations

To raise \$12.6 billion to settle its callable liabilities, we assume Chubb will pay off cash of \$1.78 billion, followed by a liquidation of its treasuries at stated fair value of \$2.38 billion. Chubb states on page F-12 that the short-term investments of \$10.4 billion represent cash and cash equivalents. Hence, we assume that Chubb will realize the remaining balance of \$8.41 billion (\$12.6-\$1.78-\$2.38 billion) from the sale of short term investments. As shown in step 2 of Table

6, we have assumed that the sale of bonds leads to a small haircut of \$146 million based on the rating structure of these bonds.

#### 4.3 Crisis related haircuts

As shown in step 3 of Table 6, based on a detailed analysis of the credit rating structure of Chubb's fixed income securities portfolio, disclosed on page 74 of Chubb's 2015 annual report, we calculate a haircut of \$7.59 billion on a portfolio of \$62.6 billion. A closer look at Chubb's balance sheet reveals that it holds \$497 million of equities as assets. Assuming a beta of 1, a 40% decline in the market index would cause an unrealized loss of approximately \$200 million.

The biggest asset, other than investments, is \$11.4 billion of reinsurance receivables. The concern here, of course, is whether these receivables will be subject to a haircut. On page F-38 reproduced below (Figure 11), Chubb's financial statements provide details of their allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. Applying the crisis related haircuts in section 3.2 as a function of credit ratings, we calculate the hair cut to be \$1.88 billion, as shown in step 3 of Table 6. As detailed in step 4, the haircuts during the crisis amount to a total of \$9.67 billion (7.59+0.2+1.88).

December 31, 2015 (in millions of U.S. dollars, except for percentages)	Gross Reinsurance Recoverable on Loss and Loss Expenses	Provision for Uncollectible	% of Gross Reinsurance Recoverable
Categories			
Largest reinsurers	\$ 5,335	\$ 69	1.3%
Other reinsurers rated A- or better	3,078	44	1.4%
Other reinsurers with ratings lower than A- or not rated	378	68	18.0%
Pools	347	14	4.0%
Structured settlements	546	10	1.8%
Captives	1,786	23	1.3%
Other	244	100	41.0%
Total	\$ 11,714	\$ 328	2.8%

The following tables	present a listing	at December 31	, 2015, of the categories	of Chubb's reinsurers.
The following tables	present a nating	, at December of	, LOID, of the categories	or orrabb 3 remburers.

Figure 11. Chubb's reinsurers, December 31, 2015.

#### 4.4 Post crisis haircuts

After reviewing the remaining assets and by intersecting their credit rating/recoverability with the default rate schedule provided by S&P, as discussed before in section 3.3, we estimate the post crisis related haircuts to be \$1.11 billion. Thus, Chubb has \$9.63 billion of equity left.

## 4.5 CRISK

Let's consider the modified balance sheet of Chubb after the systemic event. On balance sheet liabilities of \$12.6 billion would leave the books. Assets equivalent to \$12.6 billion would also leave the books. Hence, the revised assets number at Chubb would be \$102.3-\$12.6 or \$89.7 billion. SRISK assumes that 8% of these liabilities would represent a safe capital target. Note, however, that \$37.3 billion is specifically earmarked by Chubb to pay off future losses. Not only does 8% of \$37.3 billion (roughly \$3 billion) represent redundant SRISK, arguably all of \$37.3 billion represents loss absorption capacity for the P&C that SRISK would miss. Excluding that number from assets, <u>Chubb would need to hold \$4.19 billion of capital 8% of (\$89.7-\$37.3) \$52.4 billion</u>.

As detailed in step 4 of Table 6, the book value of Chubb's capital is about \$29.1 billion. The crisis related haircuts amount to \$8.8 billion. Eliminating AOCI removes \$735 million of equity. We write off three intangible assets that appear on Chubb's balance sheet: (i) goodwill of \$5.68 billion; (ii) value of business acquired of \$395 million; and (iii) deferred policy acquisition costs of \$2.87 billion. The write offs and haircuts, collectively, would impair \$18.8 billion of equity capital <u>and leave us with \$9.63 billion of GAAP capital.</u> Expressed as a ratio of left over GAAP assets of \$89.7 billion, the left-over capital ratio is 11% (9.63/89.7). <u>Thus, Chubb has negative CRISK or surplus capital of 5.43 billion (4.19-9.63).</u>

Why is Chubb's capital ratio so high? The P&C business is riskier than life insurance. Hence, P&C businesses hold more capital than life insurance businesses. Of course, as discussed earlier,

the unpaid losses reserve of \$37.3 billion, considered as a liability by SRISK, represents capital available to pay off obligations. Perhaps more important, clusters of floods or hurricanes, which represents systemic risk for a P&C firm, are rarely likely to coincide with a 40% decline in the stock market.

## 5. J.P. Morgan

Finally, we turn to the balance sheet of an investment bank, J.P. Morgan (JPM). The total assets of JPM are \$2,352 billion. The top five categories of assets include: (i) loans of \$824 billion; (ii) trading assets of \$344 billion; (iii) deposits with banks of \$340 billion; (iv) \$291 billion of securities; and (v) \$213 billion of federal funds sold and securities purchased. These five categories account for \$2 trillion (86%) of the total assets on the books.

JPM's liabilities amount to \$2,104 billion. The top five categories of liabilities are: (i) \$1.28 trillion in deposits; (ii) \$289 billion of long term debt; (iii) \$178 billion of accounts payable; (iv) \$153 billion of federal funds purchased and securities sold; and (v) \$127 billion in trading liabilities. These collectively account for 96% of JPM's liabilities.

### 5.1 Callable liabilities

To assess JPM's callable liabilities, we consider the contractual obligations disclosure, reproduced below. As shown in Figure 12, JPM owes \$1.56 trillion in the near term due to on and off balance sheet obligations. The largest number in these, by far, is \$1.26 trillion of deposits.
#### Contractual cash obligations

contractual cash obligations											
By remaining maturity at December 31,	2015										
(in millions)		2016	201	7-2018	201	9-2020	At	fter 2020	Total		Total
On-balance sheet obligations											
Deposits <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	1,262,865	\$	5,166	\$	3,553	\$	4,555 \$	1,276,139	\$	1,361,597
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements		151,433		811		3		491	152,738		192,128
Commercial paper		15,562		-		-		-	15,562		66,344
Other borrowed funds <sup>(a)</sup>		11,331		-		-		_	11,331		15,734
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs		16,389		18,480		3,093		3,130	41,092		50,200
Long-term debt <sup>(a)</sup>		45,972		82,293		59,669		92,272	280,206		262,888
Other <sup>(b)</sup>		3,659		1,201		1,024		2,488	8,372		8,355
Total on-balance sheet obligations		1,507,211		107,951		67,342		102,936	1,785,440		1,957,246
Off-balance sheet obligations											
Unsettled reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements <sup>(c)</sup>		42,482		-		-		_	42,482		40,993
Contractual interest payments(d)		8,787		9,461		6,693		21,208	46,149		48,038
Operating leases <sup>(e)</sup>		1,668		3,094		2,388		4,679	11,829		12,441
Equity investment commitments(f)		387		-		75		459	921		1,108
Contractual purchases and capital expenditures		1,266		886		276		170	2,598		2,832
Obligations under affinity and co-brand programs		98		275		80		43	496		2,303
Total off-balance sheet obligations		54,688		13,716		9,512		26,559	104,475		107,715
Total contractual cash obligations	\$	1,561,899	\$	121,667	\$	76,854	\$	129,495 \$	1,889,915	\$	2,064,961

(a) Excludes structured notes on which the Firm is not obligated to return a stated amount of principal at the maturity of the notes, but is obligated to return an amount based on the performance of the structured notes.

(b) Primarily includes dividends declared on preferred and common stock, deferred annuity contracts, pension and postretirement obligations and insurance liabilities.

(c) For further information, refer to unsettled reverse repurchase and securities borrowing agreements in Note 29.

(d) Includes accrued interest and future contractual interest obligations. Excludes interest related to structured notes for which the Firm's payment obligation is based on the performance of certain benchmarks.

(e) Includes noncancelable operating leases for premises and equipment used primarily for banking purposes and for energy-related tolling service agreements. Excludes the benefit of noncancelable sublease rentals of \$1.9 billion and \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(f) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included unfunded commitments of \$50 million and \$127 million, respectively, to third-party private equity funds, and \$871 million and \$961 million of unfunded commitments, respectively, to other equity investments.

Figure 12. J.P. Morgan's contractual obligations.

One can perhaps assume that a large proportion of retail deposits less than \$250,000 (the FDIC insurance limits) is not callable, should a systemic event occur. Note 19 (Figure 13) provides details on those deposits. \$113 billion of deposits are valued in excess of \$250,000. We assume these are fully callable. We have also assumed that 10% of the deposits under \$250,000, amounting to \$115 billion ( $10\% \times ($1263 - $113)$  billion) are also callable. Other than deposits, we assume that the rest of liabilities, amounting to \$299 billion (\$1562 - \$1263 billion), are all callable. Hence, as shown in step 1 of Table 7, the total amount of callable liabilities, should a systemic event occur, is \$527 billion (\$113 + \$115 + \$299 billion).

# Note 19 - Deposits

-
At December 31, 2015 and 2014, noninterest-bearing and
interest-bearing deposits were as follows.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
U.S. offices		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 392,721	\$ 437,558
Interest-bearing		
Demand <sup>(a)</sup>	84,088	90,319
Savings <sup>(b)</sup>	486,043	466,730
Time (included <b>\$10,916</b> and \$7,501 at fair value) <sup>(c)</sup>	92,873	86,301
Total interest-bearing deposits	663,004	643,350
Total deposits in U.S. offices	1,055,725	1,080,908
Non-U.S. offices		
Noninterest-bearing	18,921	19,078
Interest-bearing		
Demand	154,773	217,011
Savings	2,157	2,673
Time (included <b>\$1,600</b> and \$1,306 at		
fair value) <sup>(c)</sup>	48,139	43,757
Total interest-bearing deposits	205,069	263,441
Total deposits in non-U.S. offices	223,990	282,519
Total deposits	\$1,279,715	\$1,363,427

(a) Includes Negotiable Order of Withdrawal ("NOW") accounts, and certain trust accounts.

(b) Includes Money Market Deposit Accounts ("MMDAs").

(c) Includes structured notes classified as deposits for which the fair value option has been elected. For further discussion, see Note 4.

At December 31, 2015 and 2014, time deposits in
denominations of \$250,000 or more were as follows.

December 31, (in millions)	2015	2014
U.S. offices	\$ 64,519	\$ 56,983
Non-U.S. offices	48,091	43,719
Total	\$112,610	\$ 100,702

Figure 13.	J.P. Me	organ's	consolidated	financial	statements	Note 19.

An important issue related to the repo liability in the contractual obligations disclosure is worth mentioning. The \$151 billion of repo liability that JPM is responsible in 12 months is almost equivalent to the entire balance of \$153 billion repo liability as per JPM's balance sheet. That repo liability is backed by collateral of securities owned by JPM. Note 13, following accounting guidance effective 2015, discloses the nature of collateral underlying these obligations (Figure 14

and Figure 15). The disclosure relates to \$290 billion of collateral although only \$153 billion appears on the balance sheet because the difference is netted to offset opposite claims with counterparties. If the underlying collateral securities were to lose value given a systemic event, that loss in value will have to be charged to JPM's equity capital. On page 163 of its 2015 annual report, JPM states that these obligations are "secured by high quality collateral including government-issued debt and agency MBS."

The disclosure reveals that (Figure 15), of \$290 billion, \$154 billion is secured by treasuries and government agencies, \$80 billion with non-U.S. government debt and \$1.32 billion of municipal debt. We apply the repo haircuts discussed in section 3.2 for the month of June 2009. As shown in Table 3, the repo related haircuts work out to \$22.6 billion or 7.78 cents of haircut per \$1 repo. These numbers may be overstated as our calculations ignore the role of netting. However, we could not find disclosures on which asset classes were netted off with counter parties. To make the calculation simple yet reasonable, we assume that only the \$151 billion repo liabilities are immediately callable, which reduce the haircuts to \$11.8 billion ( $\frac{151}{290} \times 22.6$  billion).

Repo liabilities	290	
Backed by U.S. treasuries	154	1.16
Backed by obligations of US states and municipalities	1.32	0.0197
Backed by non-US government debt	80.1	1.27
Backed by corporate debt securities	21.3	2.84
Backed by equity securities	15.7	6.29
Backed by ABS	4.39	2.56
Backed by mortgage-backed securities	12.8	8.42
Total - Repo liabilities	290	22.6

Table 3. Haircut calculations for JPM repurchase agreement liabilities (in billion).

The following table presents as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the gross and net securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned. Securities sold under repurchase agreements have been presented on the Consolidated balance sheets net of securities purchased under resale agreements where the Firm has obtained an appropriate legal opinion with respect to the master netting agreement, and where the other relevant criteria have been met. Where such a legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained, the securities sold under repurchase agreements are not eligible for netting and are shown separately in the table below. Securities loaned are presented on a gross basis on the Consolidated balance sheets.

	 2015						2014							
December 31, (in millions)	Gross liability balance	ne	Amounts etted on the onsolidated balance sheets	N	let liability balance			Gross liability balance		Amounts etted on the onsolidated balance sheets		et liability balance		
Securities sold under repurchase agreements													•	
Securities sold under repurchase agreements with an appropriate legal opinion	\$ 277,415	\$	(156,258)	\$	121,157		\$	290,529	\$	(142,719)	\$	147,810		
Securities sold under repurchase agreements where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained <sup>(a)</sup>	12,629				12,629			21,996				21,996		
Total securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$ 290,044	\$	(156,258)	\$	133,786	(c)	\$	312,525	\$	(142,719)	\$	169,806	(c	
Securities loaned <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 22,556		NA	\$	22,556	(d)(e)	\$	25,927		NA	\$	25,927	(d	

(a) Includes repurchase agreements that are not subject to a master netting agreement but do provide rights to collateral.

(b) Included securities for securities lending transactions of \$4.4 billion and \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, accounted for at fair value, where the Firm is acting as lender. These amounts are presented within other liabilities in the Consolidated balance sheets.

(c) At December 31, 2015 and 2014, included securities sold under repurchase agreements of \$3.5 billion and \$3.0 billion, respectively, accounted for at fair value.

(d) There were no securities loaned accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(e) Included \$45 million and \$271 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of securities loaned where an appropriate legal opinion has not been either sought or obtained with respect to the master netting agreement.

Figure 14. Note 13 on collateral quality underlying repo liabilities of JPM.

	Gross I	Gross liability balance							
December 31, 2015 (in millions)		Securities sold under repurchase agreements							
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 12,7	90 \$	-						
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	154,3	77	5						
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities	1,3	16	-						
Non-U.S. government debt	80,1	62	4,426						
Corporate debt securities	21,2	86	78						
Asset-backed securities	4,3	94	-						
Equity securities	15,7	19	18,047						
Total	\$ 290,0	44 \$	22,556						

	Remaining contractual maturity of the agreements							
December 31, 2015 (in millions)		vernight and continuous	Up to 30 days		30 - 90 days		Greater than 90 days	Total
Total securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$	114,595	\$ 100,082	\$	29,955	\$	45,412 \$	290,044
Total securities loaned		8,320	708		793		12,735	22,556

Figure 15. Details of JPM repo agreements.

#### 5.2 Assets

To settle these liabilities of \$527 billion, let's assume that JPM draws on its cash balance of \$20.5 billion. This is followed by a liquidation of bank deposits of \$340 billion. The remaining \$167 billion will have to be met from a sale of U.S. treasuries of \$19 billion and other trading assets, such as obligations of U.S. states and municipalities. Page 189 of JPM's 10-K, as shown in

Figure 16, reports the exact composition of these trading assets. <u>Before proceeding, it is worth</u> <u>asking whether a withdrawal of \$340 billion at short notice is possible given the pressure on</u> <u>counterparties to fulfil these promises.</u>

The other question worth pondering is the expected loss in the underlying securities owned by other counter parties against which these \$213 billion of repos are held as assets by JPM. If a systemic event were to reduce the value of the collateral placed with JPM, the face value of the repo assets is unlikely to be realized. This, in turn, would result in a potential charge that JPM's equity will have to absorb. We could not find disclosures on the nature of the collateral backing these repo assets. We have assumed that the collateral structure underlying repo assets is similar to that underlying repo liabilities. As shown in step 4 of Table 7, <u>assuming the same haircuts on underlying assets of repos, the expected haircut on repo assets is \$16.5 billion by applying the same rate, i.e., 7.78 cents of haircut per \$1 repo, as repo liabilities.</u>

#### 5.2.1 Other crisis related haircuts

#### 5.2.1.1 Trading assets

Trading assets constitute \$344 billion on JPM's balance sheet. To cover \$527 billion callable liabilities, we liquidate high-quality trading assets and apply the crisis related haircuts, discussed in section 3.2, and arrive, as shown in step 2 of Table 7, to a haircut of \$31.4 billion. The rest of trading assets is still subject to a possible write down of \$49.1 billion during the crisis. The three major contributors to such haircuts include a (i) \$38 billion fall in the value of equity securities (40% of the holding of \$94.9 billion); and (ii) a \$4.1 billion write down in other derivatives; and (iii) a \$3.5 billion write down in other trading assets. The credit profile of derivative receivables is shown in Figure 17 for the reader's reference.

#### Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

	_		Fair value hierarch	_		
December 31, 2015 (in millions)		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Derivative netting adjustments	Total fair value
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	\$	-	\$ 23,141	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,141
Securities borrowed		-	395	-	-	395
Trading assets:						
Debt instruments:						
Mortgage-backed securities:						
U.S. government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>		6	31,815	715	-	32,536
Residential - nonagency		-	1,299	194	-	1,493
Commercial - nonagency		-	1,080	115	-	1,195
Total mortgage-backed securities		6	34,194	1,024	-	35,224
U.S. Treasury and government agencies <sup>(a)</sup>		12,036	6,985	-	-	19,021
Obligations of U.S. states and municipalities		-	6,986	651	-	7,637
Certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and commercial paper		-	1,042	-	-	1,042
Non-U.S. government debt securities		27,974	25,064	74	-	53,112
Corporate debt securities		-	22,807	736	-	23,543
Loans <sup>(b)</sup>		-	22,211	6,604	-	28,815
Asset-backed securities		-	2,392	1,832	-	4,224
Total debt instruments		40,016	121,681	10,921	-	172,618
Equity securities		94,059	606	265	-	94,930
Physical commodities <sup>(c)</sup>		3,593	1,064	-	-	4,657
Other		-	11,152	744	-	11,896
Total debt and equity instruments <sup>(d)</sup>		137,668	134,503	11,930	-	284,101
Derivative receivables:						
Interest rate		354	666,491	2,766	(643,248)	26,363
Credit		-	48,850	2,618	(50,045)	1,423
Foreign exchange		734	177,525	1,616	(162,698)	17,177
Equity		-	35,150	709	(30,330)	5,529
Commodity		108	24,720	 237	(15,880)	9,185
Total derivative receivables <sup>(e)</sup>		1,196	952,736	7,946	(902,201)	59,677
Total trading assets		138,864	1,087,239	19,876	(902,201)	343,778

Figure 16. JPM trading assets.

The following table summarizes the ratings profile by derivative counterparty of the Firm's derivative receivables, including credit derivatives, net of other liquid securities collateral, at the dates indicated. The ratings scale is based on the Firm's internal ratings, which generally correspond to the ratings as defined by S&P and Moody's.

Ratings profile of derivative receivables								
Rating equivalent		2	015	2014 <sup>(a)</sup>				
December 31, (in millions, except ratios)	E	xposure net of all collateral	% of exposure net of all collateral	Exposure net of all collateral		% of exposure net of all collateral		
AAA/Aaa to AA-/Aa3	\$	10,371	24%	\$	18,713	32%		
A+/A1 to A-/A3		10,595	25		13,508	23		
BBB+/Baa1 to BBB-/Baa3		13,807	32		18,594	31		
BB+/Ba1 to B-/B3		7,500	17		7,735	13		
CCC+/Caa1 and below		824	2		821	1		
Total	\$	43,097	100%	\$	59,371	100%		

(a) Prior period amounts have been revised to conform with current period presentation. *Figure 17. Rating profiles of JPM's derivative receivable.* 

### 5.2.1.2 Loans

Let's begin with the largest asset on JPM's balance sheet, Loans. Gross loans account for \$837 billion (net of allowance for loan losses is \$824 billion) of JPM's assets. The Federal Reserve's stress tests [5] (page 104) assume a loan loss rate of 6.1% for a severely adverse scenario. Applying that default rate leads to losses of \$47.8 billion. After subtracting the allowance of \$13.6 billion already provided for, the incremental charge would be \$34.2 billion.

#### 5.2.1.3 Securities

The next category of assets constitutes securities borrowed of \$98.7 billion and securities held at \$291 billion. Page 106 of JPM's 10-K notes that \$287.8 billion of the securities portfolio is rated AA+. Applying the haircuts applicable to investment grade and non-investment grade bonds during the crisis, as discussed in section 3.2, leads to capital charge of \$33.6 billion. We could not find clear disclosures on the quality of the \$98.7 billion of securities borrowed. JPM claims that they do not expect any credit risk from these securities. Regardless, to be conservative, we applied the haircuts applicable to investment grade bonds under the prime category during the crisis, as discussed in section 3.2, leading to haircuts of \$7.9 billion.

#### 5.2.1.4 Other assets

There is not much information on the exact composition of accrued interest and accounts receivable, accounting for \$46.6 billion of JPM's assets, and in other assets that account for \$106 billion. We have assumed an ad-hoc 10% haircut, equivalent to \$15.2 billion = 4.66 billion + 10.6 billion. Goodwill accounts for \$47.3 billion, which we assume will be worthless should a systemic event happen and hence that is charged to equity capital right away. That leaves Mortgage Servicing Rights (MSRs) of \$6.61 billion. Note 17, reproduced below in Figure 18, suggests that the adverse changes in the input parameters (such as interest rate changes) of the MSR's fair value result in projected losses of around \$0.5 billion. We have assumed \$0.5 billion to be haircut attributable to MSRs.

The table below outlines the key economic assumptions used to determine the fair value of the Firm's MSRs at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and outlines the sensitivities of those fair values to immediate adverse changes in those assumptions, as defined below.

December 31, (in millions, except rates)	2015	2014
Weighted-average prepayment speed assumption ("CPR")	9.81%	9 <b>.</b> 80%
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (275)	\$ (337)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	(529)	(652)
Weighted-average option adjusted spread	9.02%	9.43%
Impact on fair value of 100 basis points adverse change	\$ (258)	\$ (300)
Impact on fair value of 200 basis points adverse change	(498)	(578)

### CPR: Constant prepayment rate.

Figure 18. JPM consolidated financial statements Note 17.

In sum, putting these various pieces together, as shown in step 4 of Table 7, we estimate that JPM will take a write down of \$239 billion should a systemic event occur.

### 5.3 Post crisis haircuts

As detailed in step 3 of Table 7, the post crisis defaults work out to \$38.7 billion. The largest contributor to that number is \$30.2 billion stemming from the application of the 5-year default rate of 16.25% related to speculative investments.

### 5.4 CRISK

After the systemic event, JPM's liabilities and assets of \$527 billion would leave the books. Hence, the revised assets number at JPM would be (\$2,352 - \$527) or \$1,825 billion. \$1,150 billion (\$1,263 - \$113 billion) is the approximate magnitude of deposits less than \$250,000, which are FDIC insured. SRISK assumes that 8% of these liabilities would represent a safe capital target. If we were to subtract the FDIC insured deposits from those liabilities, <u>JPM would need to hold</u> <u>\$54 billion (8%×(\$1825 - \$1150) of capital.</u> The book value of JPM's capital is about \$247.5 billion. Haircuts of \$239 billion during the crisis <u>would leave us with \$8.8 billion of GAAP capital.</u> Expressed as a ratio of left over GAAP assets of \$1,825 billion, the capital ratio is 0.6% (10.1/1825). <u>Hence, the CRISK, based on financial statements, or the expected capital shortfall</u> for JPM is \$43.9 billion (\$54 - \$10.1 billion). In contrast, the SRISK NYU website expects JPM's <u>SRISK or expected capital shortfall (without simulation), given a crisis to be \$81.5 billion as of</u> March 31, 2016. What might explain the mismatch?

To understand that, we try to reconstruct the SRISK measure for JPM. The loss absorption capacity would be 8% of \$2,104 billion in liabilities and \$217 billion for the market value of J.P. Morgan's equity as of 3/31/2016 is \$186 billion.<sup>5</sup> If SRISK is \$81.5 billion, NYU implicitly assumes market value of equity equivalent to \$94.3 billion was left after the crisis. That is, a 40% decline in the market index is expected to wipe off \$122 billion of market value of equity, implying a beta of roughly 1.4. SRISK appears to overstate JPM's expected capital shortfall partly because it assumes that the FDIC insured deposits of \$1.15 trillion are immediately callable. That assumption alone would increase the expected capital shortfall of JPM by \$92 billion (8%×1150 billion).

### 6. AIG

One way to validate CRISK is to apply the framework to AIG's books during and after the financial crisis. To account for AIG's eventual bailout in the build-up to the financial crisis, (i.e., end of 2007 and four quarters of 2008), we need to review AIG's quarterly reports (i.e., 10-Qs) in addition to its annual financial statements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The closing stock price was \$59.22 as of 3/31/2016 and the number of outstanding shares as per JPM's balance sheet in appendix 3 is 3.663 trillion shares.

We begin with an analysis of AIG's CRISK in 2015. To do so, we consider AIG's balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, reproduced in APPENDIX 4A. AIG's asset base is \$497 billion. The major categories of assets include (i) bonds available for sale of \$248 billion; (ii) \$79.6 billion of separate account assets; (iii) \$29.6 billion of mortgage and other loans receivable; and (iv) \$29.8 billion of other invested assets. These four items collectively account for 78% (387/497) of AIG's total assets.

Turning to the liabilities side, as of December 31, 2015, AIG's total liabilities amount to \$407 billion. The major categories include (i) \$128 billion of policyholder contract deposits; (ii) \$79.5 billion of separate account liabilities; (iii) \$74.9 billion of liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses; (iv) \$43.6 billion of future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts; and (v) \$29.4 billion of long-term debt. These collectively account for 87% (355/407) of total liabilities. GAAP based equity held by AIG is \$90.2 billion.

In contrast, AIG's asset base as of December 31, 2007 was bigger at \$1,061 billion (see APPENDIX 4B). The top four major categories of assets only accounted for 58% (611/1060) of AIG's assets. The top five liabilities as of December 31, 2007 collectively, account for \$731 billion or 76% of total liabilities of \$ 965 billion. GAAP equity held by AIG is \$95.8 billion. We illustrate the CRISK calculation for AIG in 2015 but only report the summary CRISK values for other periods (2007 and the four quarters of 2008), details of which are available on request.

#### **6.1 Callable liabilities**

To evaluate AIG's callable liabilities as of December 31, 2015, we turn, as usual, to its contractual obligations disclosure, reproduced in Figure 19. As can be seen, AIG owes \$37.8 billion in the next year. The largest liability of \$19 billion pertains to loss reserves, which we assume are expected losses that need to be paid for. \$16 billion relates to insurance and investment

contracts. Together with off-balance sheet obligations, the total callable liabilities amount to \$41.3

billion.

#### **Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes contractual obligations in total, and by remaining maturity:

December 31, 2015				P	ayments du	e by P	Period		
		Total			2017 -		2019 -		
(in millions)		Payments	2016		2018		2020		Thereafte
Insurance operations									
Loss reserves	\$	78,090	\$ 19,035	\$	22,202	\$	12,243	\$	24,610
Insurance and investment contract liabilities		229,806	15,691		28,322		24,999		160,794
Borrowings		813	-		-		106		707
Interest payments on borrowings		1,141	54		109		109		869
Operating leases		986	253		350		197		186
Other long-term obligations		25	4		11		6		4
Total	\$	310,861	\$ 35,037	\$	50,994	\$	37,660	\$	187,170
Other									
Borrowings	\$	23,548	\$ 1,619	\$	3,208	\$	2,475	\$	16,246
Interest payments on borrowings		17,142	1,067		1,998		1,759		12,318
Operating leases		149	51		52		23		24
Other long-term obligations		107							107
Total	\$	40,946	\$ 2,737	\$	5,258	\$	4,257	\$	28,695
Consolidated									
Loss reserves	\$	78,090	\$ 19,035	\$	22,202	\$	12,243	\$	24,610
Insurance and investment contract liabilities		229,806	15,691		28,322		24,999		160,794
Borrowings		24,361	1,619		3,208		2,581		16,953
Interest payments on borrowings		18,283	1,121		2,107		1,868		13,187
Operating leases		1,135	304		402		219		210
Other long-term obligations <sup>(a)</sup>		132	4		11		6		111
Total <sup>(b)</sup>	s	351,807	\$ 37,774	s	56,252	\$	41,916	s	215,865

(a) Primarily includes contracts to purchase future services and other capital expenditures.

(b) Does not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of 4.3 billion, the timing of which is uncertain.

Figure 19. AIG's contractual obligations, 2015.

### 6.2 High quality liquid assets to settle obligations

To raise \$41.3 billion to settle its callable liabilities, illustrated in step 2 of Table 8, we assume that AIG will pay off cash of \$1.63 billion, followed by a liquidation of its treasuries at the stated fair value of \$1.84 billion. That leaves a balance of \$37.8 billion in callable liabilities which has to be covered by a sale of U.S. state, municipal and foreign government bonds. As shown in step 2, we have assumed that the sale of U.S. state and municipal bonds leads to a small haircut of \$393 million based on the rating structure of these bonds.

As shown in step 3 of Table 8, based on a detailed analysis of the credit rating structure of AIG's fixed income securities portfolio, disclosed in AIG's annual report, we are left with a total portfolio of \$248 billion with no assumed haircuts. A closer look at AIG's balance sheet reveals

that it holds \$3.84 billon of equities as assets. Assuming a beta of 1, a 40% decline in the market index would cause an unrealized loss of approximately \$1.53 billion.

#### 6.3 Post crisis haircuts

After reviewing the remaining assets and intersecting their credit rating/recoverability with the default rate schedule provided by S&P, as discussed before in section 3.3 for Prudential, we estimate the crisis related haircuts to be \$1.53 billion.

### 6.4 CRISK

Let's consider the modified balance sheet of AIG after the systemic event. Liabilities of \$41.3 billion would leave the books. Assets equivalent to \$41.3 billion would also leave the books. Similar to Prudential, we eliminate \$79.6 billion of separate account assets. Hence, the revised assets number at AIG would be (\$497-\$41.3-\$79.6) or \$376 billion. SRISK assumes that 8% of these liabilities (equal to total revised assets) would represent a safe capital target. By that calculation, AIG would need to hold \$30 billion of capital.

As detailed in step 4 of Table 8, the book value of AIG's capital is about \$90.2 billion. The crisis related haircuts amount to \$1.93 billion (\$0.393 + \$1.53 billion). Eliminating AOCI removes \$2.54 billion of equity. We write off three intangible assets that appear on AIG's balance sheet: (i) goodwill of \$1.61 billion; (ii) value of business acquired of \$453 million; and (iii) deferred policy acquisition costs of \$11.1 billion. The write offs, haircuts, and expected post-crisis defaults would impair \$17.7 billion (\$1.9+\$2.5+\$1.6+\$0.5+\$11.1 billion) of equity capital and leave us with \$72.6 billion of GAAP capital. Expressed as a ratio of left over GAAP assets of \$456 billion, the leftover capital ratio is 16% (72.6/456). CRISK for AIG is \$42.5 billion surplus.

Now, we consider AIG's CRISK over the course of the 2008 financial crisis (see Table 4). A few interesting observations emerge. First, AIG's CRISK monotonically improves since the

beginning of the crisis. CRISK changes from \$143.7 billion shortfall in 2007 to \$42.5 billion surplus in 2015. Second, the point when CRISK shortfall drops significantly coincides with the government bailout of AIG in September (2008 Q3). The change is likely a result of the rapid unloading of distressed and available for sale assets and a capital injection from the \$182 billion bailout. These trends give us some assurance that CRISK is a plausible measure of capital shortfalls should a systemic event such as a 40% decline in the overall stock market occur.

Table 4. A summary of AIG's CRISK 2007, 2008, 2015 (in billion).

Year	2007		2015			
Quarter	FY	Q1	Q2	Q3	FY	FY
<b>CRISK (where negative indicates surplus)</b>	144	134	128	87.3	71.9	-42.5

### 7. Conclusions

In this paper, we propose a financial statement based modification to the popular SRISK measure of systemic risk of a financial institution proposed by Brownlees and Engle [1] and Acharya et al. [2]. SRISK considers a systemic event, operationalized as a 40% decline in the stock market index. It goes on to evaluate the loss in the institution's market value of equity on account of that decline via the institution's beta. The measure then computes 8% of the sum of the book value of the institutions' liabilities and the reduced market value of equity ("quasi assets") as the prudent level of capital an institution should held. The difference between such prudent level of capital and the market value of fallen equity capital is SRISK.

We operationalize the same intuition using financial statements. In particular, we evaluate every on-balance sheet and off-balance liability on the institution's balance sheet that is potentially callable should the stock market decline by 40%. We then assess whether the institution has high-quality liquid assets that can be sold in a crisis to pay off its callable liabilities. Any projected loss on the sale of such assets and any goodwill balance and that of other intangible assets on the

institution's balance sheet are charged against the book value of the institutions' equity capital. We assign haircuts on the left-over assets to account for losses and future defaults once the crisis passes and compare such haircuts with the institution's left over book value of equity capital to compute the financial statement version of SRISK (CRISK).

What does this approach buy us? Apart from forcing us to explicitly consider off-balance sheet liabilities, a detailed look at balance sheets compels the analyst to acknowledge that not all onbalance sheet liabilities are callable should a crisis occur (e.g., FDIC insured deposits). Moreover, certain on-balance sheet liabilities that appear very large (e.g., separate accounts liability for a life insurer) are actually offset by the holding of separate account assets on the assets side. In sum, a detailed analysis of financial statements pushes the analyst to incorporate idiosyncrasies of the firm's business model than a broad-based market based measure such as SRISK will perhaps necessarily miss.

We recommend marrying the strengths of both approaches. SRISK, with its real-time availability and ease of access, is a great way to generate a short list of potentially systemic financial institutions. Once such a short list has been generated, detailed financial statement analysis of the kind we advocate here would enable a nuanced and a finer measure of capital shortfalls that may arise in a crisis.

49

### Abbreviations

SIFIs: systemically important financial institutions

RWA: risk weighted assets

RBC: risk based capital

- VOBA: value of business acquired
- DAC: deferred policy acquisition costs

P&C: property and casualty

- SAP: statutory accounting principles
- NAIC: National Association of Insurance Commissioners
- VIEs: variable interest entities
- AOCI: Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
- JPM: J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.
- MSRs: Mortgage Servicing Rights

### **Bibliography**

- C. Brownlees and R. Engle, "SRISK: A Conditional Capital Shortfall Measure of Systemic Risk," *The Review of Financial Studies*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 48-79, 2017.
- [2] V. Acharya, R. Engle and M. Richardson, "Capital shortfall: A new approach to ranking and regulating systemic risks," *The American Economic Review*, vol. 102, no. 3, pp. 59-64, 2012.
- [3] T. Harris, R. Herz and D. Nissim, "Accounting's Role in the Reporting, Creation, and Avoidance of Systemic Risk in Financial Institutions.," in *The Handbook of Systemic Risk*, J. Fouque and J. Langsam, Eds., Cambridge University Press, 2013, pp. 915-964.
- [4] S. G. Ryan, Financial Instruments and Institutions: Accounting and Disclosure Rules, 2nd Edition ed., S. G. Ryan, Ed., John Wiley & Sons., 2007.
- [5] Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, "Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test 2016: Supervisory Stress Test Methodology and Results," Federal Reserve, Washington.
- [6] V. Acharya, R. Engle and D. Pierre, "Testing macroprudential stress tests: The risk of regulatory risk weights," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, vol. 65, pp. 36-53, 2014.

# **CRISK Calculation Tables**

Table 5. Computing CRISK for Prudential for Year ended 2015

Panel A:	Compiling	contractual	obligations

STEP 1	
	Value
Callable Liabilities	
Other liabilities	11.4
Short term and Long	
term	2.20
Investment	
Commitments	3.00
Operating leases	0.131
Commercial mortgage	1.60
Insurance liabilities	41.6
Total Callable liabilities	59.9

Panel B: Finding high quality liquid assets to settle contractual obligations

STEP 2		
Assets	Value	After haircuts
Cash and Cash		
equivalents	16.6	16.6
US Treasury	18.5	18.4
US State and Municipal		
bonds	8.80	8.73
Foreign Government		
bonds	83.7	82.4
		126
Haircuts during crisis		
for sale of assets		0.483

Panel C: Computing haircuts post crisis on remaining assets

STEP 3				
Adjustments	Value	Closed block value	During crisis haircuts/default s	Post- crisis default
Assets				
Total Assets	757	61.5		
Cash and cash equivalents	17.6	1.04		-

STEP 3				
Adjustments	Value	Closed block value	During crisis haircuts/default s	Post- crisis default
Separate account assets	286			-
Fixed maturities, available- for-sale	290			
NAIC - 1	199			1.07
NAIC - 2	45.9			0.248
NAIC - 3	7.20			0.836
NAIC - 4	2.38			0.277
NAIC - 5	0.481			0.1
NAIC - 6	0.383			0.0
Other (belongs to closed block)	35.3	35.3		
Total	290			2.30
Fixed maturities, held-to- maturity	2.31			0.0711
Trading account assets supporting insurance liabilities	20.5			
Short term investments	0.765			
Corporate securities	12.9			
CMBS	1.86			
RMBS	1.43			
ABS	1.30			
Foreign Government bonds	0.694			
US government obligations	0.369			
Equity securities	1.25		0.502	-

STEP 3				
Adjustments	Value	Closed block value	During crisis haircuts/default s	Post- crisis default
Total	20.5		2.78	0.0842
Other trading account assets, at fair value	14.5	0.288		0.0992
Equity securities	9.27	2.73	2.62	-
Commercial Mortgage	50.6	9.77	0.132	0.232
Policy loans	11.7	4.79		0.0391
Other long-term investments	9.99	2.92		0.115
Short-term investments	8.11	1.47		0.0465
Accrued investment income	3.11	0.506		0.0148
Deferred policy acquisition costs	16.7			0.1
Value of business acquired	2.83			0.0
Other assets	14.4	0.458		0.0792
Remaining assets and corresponding haircuts	757	61.5	5.53	2.95

# Panel D: CRISK computation

STEP 4	
Equity	
BV of Equity	41.9
(-AOCI)	(12.3)
	29.6
(-Goodwill/VOBA/DAC write off)	(19.5)
(-Haircuts during crisis for asset sales)	(0.483)
(-Haircuts during crisis for other assets)	(5.53)

STEP 4	
(-Defaults post crisis)	(2.95)
SRISK AS OF March 31, 2016 as per NYU	47.5
CRISK using 8% of post crisis assets (see text)	11.0
<b>CRISK using future expected default (</b> remaining equity-post crisis default)	(1.13)

#### Table 6. Computing CRISK for Chubb for year ended 2015.

Panel A: Compiling contractual obligations	

STEP 1	
	Value
Callable Liabilities	
Short term contractual liabilities	12.6
Total Callable liabilities	12.6

Panel B: Finding high quality liquid assets to settle contractual obligations

STEP 2		
Assets	Value	After haircuts
Cash	1.78	1.8
US Treasury	2.4	2.4
Short-term investment	10.4	10.24
		14.4
Haircuts during crisis for sale of assets		0.146

Adjustments	Value	During crisis haircuts/defaults	Post crisis Defaults
Assets			
Total Assets	102.4		
Cash	1.78		-
Separate account assets	1.55		-
Fixed maturities, available for sale	43.6		
Fixed maturities, held-to-maturity	8.43		
Short-term investments, at fair value and amortized cost	10.4		-
AAA	14.4	0.401	
AA	22.1	2.58	0.0176
А	10.2	1.52	0.0242
BBB	8.94	1.34	0.0646
BB	3.78	0.944	0.120
В	3.02	0.755	0.371
Other	0.178	0.0445	0.0155
Total (Fixed maturities + ST investment)	62.6	7.59	0.616
Equity securities, at fair value	0.497	0.199	
Other investments	3.29		0.0188
Securities lending collateral	1.046		
Accrued investment income	0.513		0.0
Insurance and reinsurance balances receivable	5.32		0.0303
Reinsurance recoverable on losses and loss expenses	11.4		

Panel C: Computing haircuts post crisis on remaining assets

STEP 3			
Adjustments	Value	During crisis haircuts/defaults	Post crisis Defaults
Largest reinsurers	5.27	0.702	0.0199
Other reinsurers rated A- or better	3.03	0.405	0.0115
Other reinsurers with ratings lower than A- or not rated	0.310	0.078	0.0329
Pools	0.333	0.083	0.0406
Structured settlements	0.536	0.134	0.0653
Captives	1.763	0.441	0.215
Other	0.144	0.036	0.0176
Total	11.4	1.88	0.403
Reinsurance recoverable on policy benefits	0.187		0.0
Deferred policy acquisition costs	2.87		0.0
Value of business acquired	0.395		0.0
Goodwill and other intangible assets	5.68		-
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	2.08		0.0
Deferred tax assets	0.318		0.0
Investments in partially-owned insurance companies	0.653		0.0
Other assets	2.33		0.0
Remaining Assets and haircuts corresponding		9.67	1.11

Panel D: CRISK computation

STEP 4	
Equity	
BV of Equity	29.1
(-AOCI)	(0.735)
Remaining:	28.4
(-Goodwill/VOBA and DAC)	(8.95)
(-Haircuts during crisis during the sale of assets)	(0.146)
(-Haircuts on remaining assets)	(9.67)
Remaining:	9.63
SRISK AS OF March 31, 2016 as per NYU	Not reported
CRISK using 8% of post crisis assets (see text)	(5.43)
<b>CRISK using future expected default (</b> remaining equity-post crisis default)	(8.52)

Table 7. Computing CRISK for J.P. Morgan for year ended 2015.

STEP 1	Value
Callable Liabilities	
On balance-sheet obligations - deposits	228
Other contractual liabilities	299
Repo liabilities	151
Non-repo liabilities	148
Callable Liabilities (excluding repo)	375
Total Callable liabilities	527

Panel A: Compiling contractual obligations

STEP 2	Value	After haircuts
Cash due from banks	20.49	20.49
Deposits with banks	340.02	340.02
US treasuries	19.00	18.86
Obligations of US states and municipalities	7.64	7.58
US government agency MBS	32.54	14.64
Non US government securities	53.11	52.27
Nonagency residential mortgage	1.49	0.51
Nonagency commercial mortgage	1.20	0.41
Asset backed securities	4.22	1.76
Corporate debt	23.54	20.4
Loans	28.82	26.51
Derivatives	23.47	22.77
AAA to AA-	10.4	9.2
A+ to A-	10.6	9.4
BBB+ to BBB-	5.0	4.3
Total	558	527
Haircuts during crisis	31.4	

Panel B: Finding high quality liquid assets to settle contractual obligations

STEP 3	Values	During crisis - Haircuts/Defau lts	Post-crisis default
Assets			
Total Assets	2,352		
Cash and due from banks	20.5		-
Deposit with banks	340		-
Securities borrowed	98.7	7.9	0.1
Trading assets	343.839		
Equity holdings	94.9	38.0	-
Derivatives	59.7		
BBB+ to BBB-	8.8	1.3	0.0308
BB+ to B-	7.5	1.9	0.181
CCC+ and below	0.824	0.2	0.168
Others	16.6	4.1	0.533
Others	17.7	3.5	0.0169
Total	343.839	49.1	0.93
Securities	290.8		
AA+	287.8	33.6	0.153
Others	3.1	0.6	0.104
Total	290.8	34.2	0.256
Loans	837.3		
Loans with credit exposure	783.1		
Investment grade	585.1	35.7	5.8
Non-investment grade	198.0	12.1	30.2
Others	54.2		0.6
Allowance for loan losses	(13.6)		
Total loans (net)	824	34.2	36.6
Accrued interest and accounts receivable	46.6	4.66	0.239
Premises and equipment	14.4		-
Goodwill	47.3	47.3	-
Mortgage servicing rights	6.61	0.5	0.0348
Repo assets	213	16.5	-
All other intangible assets	1.02	1.02	-
Other assets	106	10.6	0.542
Remaining Assets and Haircuts corresponding	2,351.7	206	38.7

1

Panel C: Computing haircuts post crisis on remaining assets

Panel D: CRISK computation

STEP 4	
BV of Equity	248
(-AOCI)	(0.192)
	247
(-Goodwill and intangible assets)	(48.3)
(-Haircuts during crisis)	(31.4)
(-Haircuts to repo assets)	(16.5)
(-Haircuts to loans)	(34.2)
(-Haircuts to securities borrowed)	(7.90)
(-Haircuts to securities)	(34.2)
(-Haircuts to trading assets)	(49.1)
(-Haircuts to accrued interest and accounts receivable)	(4.66)
(-Haircuts to MSR)	(0.500)
(-other assets)	(10.6)
	10.1
CRISK using 8% of post crisis assets	43.9

# Table 8. Computing CRISK for AIG for year ended 2015.

Panel A: Compiling contractual obligations

STEP 1	
	Value
Callable Liabilities	
Loss Reserves	19.0
Insurance and investment contract liabilities	15.7
Borrowings and interest on them	2.74
Operating leases and other long-term liabilities	0.308
Guarantees	0.629
Commitments	2.89
Total Callable liabilities	41.3

Panel B: Finding high quality liquid assets to settle contractual obligations

STEP 2		
Adjustments	Value	After haircuts
Assets		
Cash and Cash equivalents	1.63	1.63
US Treasury	1.84	1.83
US State and Municipal bonds	27.3	27.1
Foreign Government bonds	18.2	17.9
		48.5
Haircuts during crisis sale of asset	S	0.393

Panel C: Computing haircut	s post crisis on remaining assets
----------------------------	-----------------------------------

STEP 3			
Adjustments	Value	During crisis haircuts/defaults	Post-crisis default
Assets			
Total Assets	496.9		
Cash and cash equivalents	1.63		-
Separate account assets	79.6		-
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	248.3		
AAA	38.7		0.0541
AA	40.3		-
Α	58.2		0.163
BBB	76.2		0.647

STEP 3		
Below Investment grade	33.9	3.94
Not rated	0.936	0.109
Total	248	4.74
Other bond securities	16.8	
AAA	4.98	-
AA	0.9	-
Α	2.2	-
BBB	0.7	-
Below Investment grade	7.9	0.917
Not rated	0.1	-
Equity securities	3.84	1.53
Mortgage and other loans	29.5	0.168
Other investments	29.8	0.170
Short-term investments	10.1	-
Premiums and other recoverables	11.5	0.0653
Deferred Income Taxes	20.4	0.116
Accrued investment income	2.62	0.0148
Deferred policy acquisition costs	11.1	
Value of business acquired	0.453	
Goodwill	1.61	
Reinsurance recoverables	20.4	0.1
Other assets	11.4	0.1
Remaining assets and haircuts	497	1.53 5.46

Panel D: CRISK computation
----------------------------

STEP 4		
Adjustments	Value	After haircuts
Equity		
BV of Equity	90.2	
(-AOCI)	(2.54)	
	87.7	
(-Goodwill, DAC, VOBA)	(13.2)	
(-Haircuts during crisis)	(0.393)	
(-Default on Commercial Mortgage)	-	
(-Defaults and haircuts on Trading assets)		
(-Equity drop)	(1.53)	(1.9)
	72.6	
CRISK using 8% of post crisis assets	(42.5)	

# APPENDIX 1: PRUDENTIAL'S 2015 BALANCE SHEET

PRUDENTIAL FINANCIAL, INC.

#### Consolidated Statements of Financial Position December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in millions, except share amounts)

ASSETS		2015	_	2014
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2015 – \$265,416; 2014 – \$265,116)(1)		200 222		200.000
Fixed maturities, held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: $2015 - \$2.624$ ; $2014 - \$2.902$ )(1)	\$	290,323	\$	299,090
Trading account assets supporting insurance liabilities, at fair value(1)		2,308		2,575
Other trading account assets supporting insurance nationes, at fair value(1)		20,522		20,263
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost: 2015 – \$6,847; 2014 – \$6,921)		14,458		10,874
Commercial mortgage and other loans (includes \$274 and \$380 measured at fair value under the fair value option at December 31, 2015 and		9,274		9,861
December 31, 2014, respectively)(1)		50,559		46,432
Policy loans		11,657		11,712
Other long-term investments (includes \$1,322 and \$1,082 measured at fair value under the fair value option at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)(1)		9,986		10,921
Short-term investments		8,105		8,258
Total investments	_	417,192		419,986
Cash and cash equivalents(1)		17,612		14,918
Accrued investment income(1)		3,110		3,130
Deferred policy acquisition costs		16,718		15,971
Value of business acquired		2,828		2,830
Other assets(1)		14,358		13,379
Separate account assets		285,570		296,435
TOTAL ASSETS	s	757,388	s	766.65
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	_	101,000	-	
LIABILITIES				
Future policy benefits	s	224 284	é	017.76
Policyholders' account balances(1)	3	224,384	\$	217,760
Policyholders' dividends		136,784		136,150
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		5,578		7,661
Cash collateral for loaned securities		7,882		9,401
		3,496		4,241
Income taxes		8,714		9,881
Short-term debt		1,216		3,839
Long-term debt		19,727		19,83
Other liabilities(1)		13,517		13,03
Notes issued by consolidated variable interest entities (includes \$8,597 and \$6,033 measured at fair value under the fair value option at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)(1)		8,597		6,058
Separate account liabilities		285,570	_	296,433
Total liabilities		715,465	_	724,300
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 23)				
EQUITY				
Preferred Stock (\$.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued)		0		(
Common Stock (\$.01 par value; 1,500,000,000 shares authorized; 660,111,339 shares issued at both December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014)		6		(
Class B Stock (\$.01 par value; 0 shares authorized and issued at December 31, 2015; 10,000,000 shares authorized and 2,000,000 shares issued at December 31, 2014)		0		(
Additional paid-in capital		24,482		24,565
Common Stock held in treasury, at cost (213,009,970 and 205,277,862 shares at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)		(13,814)		(13,088
Class B Stock held in treasury, at cost (0 and 2,000,000 shares at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)		0		(65)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		12,285		16,050
Retained earnings		18,931		14,88
Total Prudential Financial, Inc. equity		41,890		41,77
Noncontrolling interests	_	33	_	57
Total equity		41,923		42.349
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$	757,388	\$	766

# **APPENDIX 2: CHUBB's 2015 BALANCE SHEET**

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Chubb Limited and Subsidiaries

(in millions of U.S. dollars, except share and per share data)	De	ecember 31 2015	De	cember 31 2014
Assets		2015		2014
Investments				
Fixed maturities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost - \$43,149 and \$47,826)	\$	43,587	\$	49,395
(includes hybrid financial instruments of \$31 and \$274)				
Fixed maturities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value – \$8,552 and \$7,589)		8,430		7,331
Equity securities, at fair value (cost – \$441 and \$440)		497		510
Short-term investments, at fair value and amortized cost		10,446		2,322
Other investments (cost – \$2,993 and \$2,999)		3.291		3,346
Total investments	-	66,251		62,904
Cash		1,775		655
		1,046		1,330
Securities lending collateral Accrued investment income		513		552
Insurance and reinsurance balances receivable		5,323		5,426
Reinsurance recoverable on losses and loss expenses		11,386		11,992
Reinsurance recoverable on policy benefits		11,000		217
Deferred policy acquisition costs		2,873		2,601
Value of business acquired		395		466
Goodwill and other intangible assets		5,683		5,724
Prepaid reinsurance premiums		2,082		2,026
Deferred tax assets		318		295
Investments in partially-owned insurance companies		653		504
Other assets		3,881		3,556
Total assets	\$	102,366	\$	98,248
Liabilities				
Unpaid losses and loss expenses	\$	37,303	\$	38,315
Unearned premiums		8,439		8,222
Future policy benefits		4,807		4,754
Insurance and reinsurance balances payable		4,270		4,095
Securities lending payable		1,047		1,331
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities		6,205		5,726
Repurchase agreements		1,404		1,402
Short-term debt		1,404		1,150
		9,447		3,357
Long-term debt		309		
Trust preferred securities	-			309
Total liabilities		73,231	_	68,661
Commitments and contingencies				
Shareholders' equity				
Common Shares (CHF 24.15 and CHF 24.77 par value; 342,832,412 shares issued; 324,563,441 and 328,659,686 shares outstanding)		7,833		8,055
Common Shares in treasury (18,268,971 and 14,172,726 shares)		(1,922)		(1,448
Additional paid-in capital		4,481		5,145
Retained earnings		19,478		16,644
Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI)		(735)		1,191
Total shareholders' equity		29,135		29,587
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$	102,366	¢	98,248

One anonymous water to the annealidated formulal statements

### APPENDIX 3: J.P. MORGAN CHASE'S 2015 BALANCE SHEET

### **Consolidated balance sheets**

Liabilities

December 31, (in millions, except share data)		2015		2014
Assets Cash and due from banks	\$	20,490	ď	27,831
Deposits with banks	₽		₽	484,477
		340,015		
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements (included <b>\$23,141</b> and \$28,585 at fair value)		212,575		215,803
Securities borrowed (included <b>\$395</b> and \$992 at fair value)		98,721		110,435
Trading assets (included assets pledged of <b>\$115,284</b> and \$125,034)		343,839		398,988
Securities (included <b>\$241,754</b> and \$298,752 at fair value and assets pledged of <b>\$14,883</b> and \$24,912)		290,827		348,004
Loans (included <b>\$2,861</b> and \$2,611 at fair value)		837,299		757,336
Allowance for loan losses		(13,555)		(14,185)
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses		823,744		743,151
Accrued interest and accounts receivable		46,605		70,079
Premises and equipment		14,362		15,133
Goodwill		47,325		47,647
Mortgage servicing rights		6,608		7,436
Other intangible assets		1,015		1,192
Other assets (included <b>\$7,604</b> and \$11,909 at fair value and assets pledged of <b>\$1,286</b> and \$1,399)		105,572		102,098
Total assets <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	2,351,698	\$	2,572,274
Liabilities				
Deposits (included <b>\$12,516</b> and \$8,807 at fair value)	\$	1,279,715	\$	1,363,427
Federal funds purchased and securities loaned or sold under repurchase agreements (included \$3,526 and \$2,979 at fa value)	ir	152,678		192,101
Commercial paper		15,562		66,344
Other borrowed funds (included <b>\$9,911</b> and \$14,739 at fair value)		21,105		30.222
Trading liabilities		126,897		152,815
Accounts payable and other liabilities (included <b>\$4,401</b> and \$4,155 at fair value)		177,638		206,939
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities (included <b>\$787</b> and \$2,162 at fair value)		41,879		52,320
Long-term debt (included <b>\$33,065</b> and \$30,226 at fair value)		288,651		276,379
Total liabilities <sup>(a)</sup>		2,104,125		2,340,547
Commitments and contingencies (see Notes 29, 30 and 31)				
Stockholders' equity				
Preferred stock (\$1 par value: authorized 200,000,000 shares: issued <b>2,606,750</b> and 2,006,250 shares)		26,068		20,063
Common stock (\$1 par value; authorized 9,000,000,000 shares; issued <b>4,104,933,895</b> shares)		4,105		4,105
Additional paid-in capital		92,500		93.270
Retained earnings		146,420		129,977
Accumulated other comprehensive income		140,420		2.189
Shares held in restricted stock units ("RSU") trust, at cost ( <b>472,953</b> shares)		(21)		(21)
Treasury stock, at cost (441,459,392 and 390,144,630 shares)		(21,691)		
				(17,856)
Total stockholders' equity Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	247,573 2,351,698	¢	231,727 2,572,274
<ul> <li>(a) The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to VIEs that are consolidated by the Firm at December 31, 20 VIE assets and liabilities represents the Firm's interests in those entities, which were eliminated in consolidation.</li> <li>December 31, (in millions)</li> </ul>		, ,	\$ erenc	
Assets		2013		2014
Assets Trading assets	\$	3,736	\$	9.090
Loans	٣	75,104	٣	68,880
All other assets		2.765		1.815
Total assets	\$	81,605	\$	79,785
	₽	01,005	₽	/9,/85

 Beneficial interests issued by consolidated variable interest entities
 \$ 41,879
 \$ 52,320

 All other liabilities
 809
 949

 Total liabilities
 \$ 42,688
 \$ 53,269

The assets of the consolidated VIEs are used to settle the liabilities of those entities. The holders of the beneficial interests do not have recourse to the general credit of JPMorgan Chase. At both December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Firm provided limited program-wide credit enhancement of \$2.0 billion, related to its Firm-administered multi-seller conduits, which are eliminated in consolidation. For further discussion, see Note 16.

# **APPENDIX 4A. AIG'S 2015 BALANCE SHEET**

#### AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

		December 31,	December 31
(in millions, except for share data)		2015	2014
Assets:			
Investments:			
Fixed maturity securities:			
Bonds available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2015 - \$240,968; 2014 - \$243,307)	\$	248,245	\$ 259,859
Other bond securities, at fair value (See Note 5)		16,782	19,712
Equity Securities:			
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2015 - \$1,379; 2014 - \$1,930)		2,915	4,395
Other common and preferred stock, at fair value (See Note 5)		921	1,049
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$11; 2014 - \$6)		29,565	24,990
Other invested assets (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$8,912; 2014 - \$9,394)		29,794	34,518
Short-term investments (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$2,591; 2014 - \$1,684)		10,132	11,243
Total investments		338,354	355,766
Cash		1,629	1,758
Accrued investment income		2,623	2,712
Premiums and other receivables, net of allowance		11,451	12,031
Reinsurance assets, net of allowance		20,413	21,959
Deferred income taxes		20,394	19,339
Deferred policy acquisition costs		11,115	9,827
Other assets, including restricted cash of \$170 in 2015 and \$2,025 in 2014		11,390	12,153
Separate account assets, at fair value		79,574	80,036
Total assets	\$	496,943	\$ 515,581
Liabilities:			
Liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$	74,942	\$ 77,260
Unearned premiums		21,318	21,324
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts		43,585	42,749
Policyholder contract deposits (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$2,325; 2014 - \$1,561)		127,588	124,613
Other policyholder funds (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$6; 2014 - \$8)		4,212	4,669
Other liabilities (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$62; 2014 - \$350)		26,164	26,441
Long-term debt (portion measured at fair value: 2015 - \$3,670; 2014 - \$5,466)		29,350	31,217
Separate account liabilities		79,574	80,036
Total liabilities		406,733	408,309
Contingencies, commitments and guarantees (see Note 15)			
AIG shareholders' equity:			
Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 2015 - 1,906,671,492 and			
2014 - 1,906,671,492		4,766	4,766
Treasury stock, at cost; 2015 - 712,754,875; 2014 - 530,744,521 shares of common stock		(30,098)	(19,218
Additional paid-in capital		81,510	80,958
Retained earnings		30,943	29,775
Accumulated other comprehensive income		2,537	10,617
Total AIG shareholders' equity		89,658	 106,898
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests		552	374
Total equity		90,210	107,272
Total liabilities and equity	s	496,943	\$ 515,581

# APPENDIX 4B. AIG'S 2007 BALANCE SHEET

# American International Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheet

millions)	2007	200
sets:		
Investments and financial services assets:		
Fixed maturities:		
Bonds available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2007 — \$393,170; 2006 — \$377,163)	\$ 397,372	\$386,869
Bonds held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: 2007 — \$22,157; 2006 — \$22,154)	21,581	21,437
Bond trading securities, at fair value (includes hybrid financial instruments: 2007 — \$555;		
2006 — \$522)	9,982	10,836
Equity securities:		
Common stocks available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2007 — \$12,588; 2006 — \$10,662)	17,900	13,25
Common and preferred stocks trading, at fair value	21,376	14,85
Preferred stocks available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2007 — \$2,600, 2006 — \$2,485)	2,370	2,53
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance (2007 - \$77; 2006 - \$64) (includes loans held		
for sale: 2007 — \$399)	33,727	28,41
Financial services assets:		
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation (2007 -		
\$10,499; 2006 — \$8,835)	41,984	39,87
Securities available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2007 - \$40,157; 2006 - \$45,912)	40,305	47,20
Trading securities, at fair value	4,197	5,03
Spot commodities	238	220
Unrealized gain on swaps, options and forward transactions	16,442	19,252
Trade receivables	6,467	4,31
Securities purchased under agreements to resell, at contract value	20,950	30,29
Finance receivables, net of allowance (2007 — \$878; 2006 — \$737) (includes finance receivables	20,000	50,29
held for sale: 2007 — \$233; 2006 — \$1,124)	31,234	29,57
	75.662	29,57
Securities lending invested collateral, at fair value (cost: 2007 — \$80,641; 2006 — \$69,306) Other invested assets		42.11
	58,823	
Short-term investments, at cost (approximates fair value)	51,351	27,48
Total investments and financial services assets	851,961	792,87
Cash	2,284	1,59
Investment income due and accrued	6,587	6,09
Premiums and insurance balances receivable, net of allowance (2007 — \$662; 2006 — \$756)	18,395	17,78
Reinsurance assets, net of allowance (2007 — \$520; 2006 — \$536)	23,103	23,35
Deferred policy acquisition costs	43,150	37,235
Investments in partially owned companies	654	1,10
Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation (2007 - \$5,446; 2006 - \$4,940)	5,518	4,38
Separate and variable accounts	78,684	70,27
Goodwill	9,414	8,62
Other assets	20,755	16,08
Reserve for losses and loss expenses		
Unearned premiums Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts	\$ 85,500 28,022 136,068	\$ 79,999 26,271 121,004
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits	28,022 136,068 258,459	26,271 121,004 248,264
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties	28,022 136,068 258,459 6,310 4,878 2,501	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable	28,022 136,068 258,459 6,310 4,878 2,501	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities:	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payable Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,558 4,709	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,558 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111)	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,558 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) teal liabilities	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tal liabilities	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,558 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tetal liabilities Generad shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies Dommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12)	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible accounts Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tat liabilities referred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) hareholders' equity:	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tat liabilities ferered shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) tareholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 —	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tat liabilities Gerred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 11,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) that liabilities ferred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) hareholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) Other liabilities Terred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) areholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 119,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) that liabilities ferred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) hareholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,558 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100 6,878 2,848	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tat liabilities ferered shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) areholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital Payments advanced to purchase shares	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 76,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100 6,878 2,848 (912)	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 119,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191 6,878 2,590 
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable Minority interest Other liabilities Terfered shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) areholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital Payments advanced to purchase shares Retained earnings	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,884 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100 6,878 2,848 (912) 89,029	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 119,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 113,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191 6,878 2,590 
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tat liabilities referred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) hareholders' equity: Common stock, \$2:50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital Payments advanced to purchase shares Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Treasury stock, at cost; 2007 — 221,743,421; 2006 — 150,131,273 shares of common stock (including	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100 6,878 2,848 (912) 89,029 4,643	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191 6,878 26,267 877,542 191
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policy bolders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Securities lending payable Minority interest Other policy benefits (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) otal liabilities referred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ormmitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) hareholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital Payments advanced to purchase shares Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Treasury stock, at cost; 2007 — 221,743,421; 2006 — 150,131,273 shares of common stock (including 119,293,487 and 119,278,644 shares, respectively, held by subsidiaries)	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100 6,878 2,848 (912) 89,029 4,643 (6,685)	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 11,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191 6,878 2,590 
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts Policyholders' contract deposits Other policyholders' funds Commissions, expenses and taxes payable Insurance balances payable Funds held by companies under reinsurance treaties Income taxes payable Financial services liabilities: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at contract value Trade payables Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, at fair value Unrealized loss on swaps, options and forward transactions Trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors Commercial paper and extendible commercial notes Long-term borrowings Separate and variable accounts Securities (includes hybrid financial instruments at fair value: 2007 — \$47; 2006 — \$111) tat liabilities eferred shareholders' equity in subsidiary companies ommitments, Contingencies and Guarantees (See Note 12) areholders' equity: Common stock, \$2:50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued 2007 and 2006 — 2,751,327,476 Additional paid-in capital Payments advanced to purchase shares Retained earnings Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Treasury stock, at cost; 2007 — 221,743,421; 2006 — 150,131,273 shares of common stock (including	28,022 136,068 258,459 12,599 6,310 4,878 2,501 3,823 8,331 10,568 4,709 20,613 4,903 13,114 162,935 78,684 81,965 10,422 30,200 964,604 100 6,878 2,848 (912) 89,029 4,643	26,271 121,004 248,264 10,986 5,305 3,789 2,602 9,546 19,677 6,174 4,076 111,401 5,249 13,363 135,316 70,277 70,198 7,778 26,267 877,542 191

AIG 2007 Form 10-K 131